

| Applicant<br>Student<br>ID | Reviewer Notes  | *<br>Backgro<br>und:  | *<br>Benefits:<br>-   | *<br>Composi<br>tion:  | Total<br>Points | Total<br>Possible<br>Score | Percent<br>Score |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|                            |   | - Interest<br>stated,<br>- Financial<br>needs<br>- Pursued<br>other<br>funding<br>(not<br>required) | Explains<br>intended<br>use of<br>funds.<br>- Explains<br>how it<br>will help<br>toward<br>degree<br>completi<br>on | - Clear,<br>logical,<br>reasonab<br>le<br>- Technica<br>l terms<br>defined |                 |                            |                  |
| 101976620                  | Financial needs should be explained more clearly.<br>The applicant should explain more about how this<br>fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.   | 28  | 18  | 10   | 56              | 60                         | 93.33%           |
| 101976620                  | Student's area of interest and how the funds will help<br>toward degree completion are clearly defined,<br>however, their intended use of GSF funds extends<br>past tuition, books, and fees and goes into areas more<br>appropriate for SRG/PDG. | 26  | 18  | 10   | 54              | 60                         | 90%              |
| 101976620                  | Applicant gave a very detailed background and clear<br>proposal   | 29  | 18  | 10   | 57              | 60                         | 95%              |

102003824 NOTE: Personal Information should not be included in proposal.

The supporting document seems to have no connection to the request or it's reason.

The proposal shows financial need. However it does not explain interest to academic/professional goals.

|  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
|  | 10 | 15 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 58.33% |
| 102003824 Didn't really give a detailed explanation of how this ca   | 20 | 15 | 10 | 45 | 60 | 75%    |
| 102003824 Caution is required for this GSF application. First, the   | 29 | 19 | 7  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101913152 Financial needs weren't explained enough.  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
| The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree.  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
| The applicant didn't explain enough about the usage of the funds.  | 28 | 16 | 10 | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101913152 The applicant showed strong financial need.  | 30 | 20 | 8  | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101913152 Okay application, although the application essay could have been more detailed.  | 27 | 17 | 8  | 52 | 60 | 86.67% |
| 101907977  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101907977 Academic and professional interests clearly stated.  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
| Benefits of GSF funds clearly linked to academic goals . Flows well and is in a logical order. Only issue is the lack of information about the transportation.             |    |    |    |    |    |        |
| While arguably it is a necessary cost toward completing their degree no information was provided about how far and how often they need to attend their practicum with APS. |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|  | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102003887 The proposal is only 266 words.  | 19 | 13 | 5  | 37 | 60 | 61.67% |
| 102003887  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102003887  | 20 | 10 | 3  | 33 | 60 | 55%    |

|           |  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 102040932 | The applicant's name is on both files. I do not know them, so I will continue to review them. It does not clearly state the specific use of GSF funds; I am assuming it is for tuition. Interest is stated, and financial needs are mentioned.   | 30 | 16 | 10 | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 102040932 | the student has clearly explained the budget.  | 27 | 18 | 7  | 52 | 60 | 86.67% |
| 101945058 | Complete application: proposal and screenshot of UNM account; background is well clearly stated, including the applicant background, current stage, and plan, and other sources of funding. Benefits are well described, including degree completion and usage in books, education relevant payments, and tuition. Composition is great, clear and general for broader audience, and it is action oriented | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101945058 |  | 26 | 20 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101382679 | Okay application, although the application essay could have been more detailed.  | 27 | 19 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 102028355 | NOTE: Personal Information should not be included in proposal and support documents.   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|           | Although the use of the funds are implied, it should be made explicit.   | 30 | 20 | 5  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102028355 | Applicant mentioned name in essay.   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101069881 | Listed everything in a good way.   | 27 | 19 | 9  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101069881 | The GSF proposal contains several grammatical errors, and there are fewer signs of proofreading (-2).  | 30 | 20 | 8  | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 102039336 | Applicant's proposal is very logical and clearly describes their interest and financial needs. They did a thorough job of describing how funds will benefit their education. My only concern was their intention to use the remaining GSF money (after paying tuition, fees, and books) for "professional development". Unsure if that remains within the scope of acceptable use of funds. | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102039336 | Applicant provided a good application but the background information could have been more   | 28 | 19 | 9  | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 102039336 | Good, student states academic and professional interests but lacks detail. Financial needs stated but also lacks some detail. Material provided supports need for financial support and explanation of use of funds is clearly linked to overall goals and program participation.   | 28 | 20 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101885771 | The same benefits are being stated in different expressions.  | 19 | 13 | 5  | 37 | 60 | 61.67% |
| 101885771 |   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101885771 |   | 25 | 17 | 5  | 47 | 60 | 78.33% |
| 100816784 | Directly states use of funds, the benefit of funding could be more emphasized. Professional interests are clearly stated.   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 100816784 | It is better if student has explained little more about how funds will help.  | 30 | 15 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 100816784 | The applicant should state his/her interest more clearly.<br>Financial needs should be explained more clearly.<br>The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.   | 26 | 18 | 10 | 54 | 60 | 90%    |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101716660 | Solid application that outlines the financial need and the importance of GSF to the applicant's education thereof   | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101716660 | Interest is not clearly stated.<br>Financial needs weren't explained enough.<br>The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree.<br>The applicant didn't explain enough about the usage of the funds.<br>The composition needs to be enhanced. | 26 | 16 | 8  | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |
| 102026957 | The applicant's supporting document shows a financial need.   | 27 | 20 | 9  | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 102026957 | Okay application, although the application essay could have been more detailed.   | 24 | 17 | 8  | 49 | 60 | 81.67% |
| 102019577 | Nicely written.   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102062777 | Incomplete application: proposal but missing the screenshot of UNM account; background is clearly stated, including the applicant background, interest, and needs; Benefits are clearly stated: cover the tuition; The composition is well-suited for a general audience.                     | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102025680 |   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102025680 | Laid everything out in a good way.  | 27 | 19 | 9  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102025680 | Some grammatical issues are found in the GSF proposal (-1).   | 30 | 20 | 9  | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101890849 | Applicant clearly described their financial needs and the unique challenges of their situation, especially within their field. They also did a great job of describing their area of study in layman's terms.   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101890849 | Student provided a precise and detailed application for the Gsf grant.  | 28 | 20 | 9  | 57 | 60 | 95%    |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 100022673 | The proposal discusses the use of funds to be applied towards tuition, conference travel, and books. However the only supporting materials sent were  | 30 | 5  | 5  | 40 | 60 | 66.67% |
| 100022673 | Background of the academic interests and financial need are clearly stated; benefit of funding is articulated; writing flows logically.   | 20 | 14 | 8  | 42 | 60 | 70%    |
| 100022673 | At one point, the proposal mentions that these funds will be used for conferences, workshops, and other professional development opportunities. In contrast, the funds are required to buy gift cards for the participants of the research. Other than that, nothing wrong with the proposal. | 28 | 18 | 10 | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 101283117 | not a strong point for receiving GSF fund   | 17 | 8  | 5  | 30 | 60 | 50%    |
| 101283117 | The discussed academic/professional interests are clearly stated. The financial need is stated but could have been described if other funding had been pursued. It states how the funds will be used and how they relate directly to degree completion.                                       | 28 | 20 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101283117 | Well written proposal   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101703788 | The applicant should state his/her interest more clearly. Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.   | 26 | 18 | 10 | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101832459 | Interest is not clearly stated. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The applicant didn't explain enough about the usage of the funds.   | 26 | 16 | 10 | 52 | 60 | 86.67% |

|           |  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101832459 | The applicant did not demonstrate strong financial needs. The supporting document the applicant attached shows no tuition balance.   | 17 | 13 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 66.67% |
| 101832459 | A succinct application that perfectly captures all the requirements for the award of the GSF.  | 30 | 19 | 9  | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101652910 | NOTE: Intends to use funds outside of the scope of the funding, conferences, and research for example (written in proposal).   | 30 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |
| 101889689 | Applicant is seeking funds to purchase lab materials which I am not sure if it qualifies under GSF. Also, the supporting document shows a total cost less than \$1,000 but the applicant requested for \$1,000.  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101889689 | Did a good job laying out everything they needed and followed the guidelines.  | 28 | 19 | 10 | 57 | 60 | 95%    |
| 101830265 | Caution is required for this application. In the GSF Proposal, the applicant mentions the GSF would go 'directly' toward immediate living expenses such as food (-1) and housing (-1). Based on acceptable GSF costs (tuition, fees, books, art, music, or other supplies in lieu of textbooks), the intended use of GSF can be considered unacceptable GSF costs. | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 101830265 | The applicant's interest is clearly outlined and they have described how the funds will ease financial burdens. However, they mention that the intended use of the funds is for living expenses and not tuition, fees, and books. The image of their Spring 2025 fees suggests that they have no remaining charges for tuition, fees, or books for the semester.   | 25 | 15 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |
| 102058507 | The purpose or significance of this image capture with a pinhole camera is a little unclear for people outside the arts field. I mean the reason why are they studying this phenomenon.  | 30 | 20 | 7  | 57 | 60 | 95%    |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 102058507 | attending and presenting in a conference is SRG grant. i graded based on the requirements in these options, you have a great conference to attend   | 27 | 17 | 6  | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |
| 102058507 | This person would benefit from applying to the GSF and the SRG. Alongside tuition, this application asks for funding to support materials for their body of work; this aligns with the SRG, not the GSF.  | 30 | 17 | 10 | 57 | 60 | 95%    |
| 102025539 | The background section currently lacks a strong connection between the student's musical interests and the specific aims of the degree and explanation of how the grant will be utilized to further develop musical skills or knowledge is not entirely clear.  | 20 | 10 | 7  | 37 | 60 | 61.67% |
| 102025539 | Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail.<br>The composition should be improved.  | 28 | 18 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101170420 | Complete application: proposal, and screenshot of UNM account; background is clearly stated, including the applicant background, and financial needs; benefits are clearly stated, the applicant will use the fund to cover books; Composition is great, research is stated to general audience, very clear | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101170420 | Good application.   | 25 | 18 | 8  | 51 | 60 | 85%    |
| 101497465 | Interest is not clearly stated.<br>The composition needs to be enhanced.<br>The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree.   | 28 | 18 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101497465 | The applicant did not demonstrate a strong financial need. The applicant indicates that they will use the funds to offset their tuition cost, but their supporting document shows that they have no tuition arrears.  | 25 | 15 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |



|           |  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 102020747 | Applicant provided a good application but there was not sufficient supporting documentation  | 27 | 18 | 8  | 53 | 60 | 88.33% |
| 102020747 | Professional and academic interests are stated though lack some details. The supporting material is a little confusing. I think they are applying for funding for current semester but there seems to be some issues with the billing for their account. I will leave it up to the Bursar's office to determine the timing of support requested. | 28 | 20 | 9  | 57 | 60 | 95%    |
| 102020747 | Background is introduced, but not show the applicant is working on avoiding the financial situation.   | 15 | 10 | 6  | 31 | 60 | 51.67% |
| 102026426 | Well thought through application.  | 29 | 19 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102004933 | Supporting document does not paint a clear picture of where the funds will be utilized.  | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102004933 |  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102014792 | Laid everything out in a detailed way following the guidelines.  | 28 | 18 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 102014792 | Caution is required. The GSF proposal is well-written. However, the word count is at 667 words, going over the limit of 500 words (-1).  | 30 | 20 | 9  | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101938580 | Applicant has an obvious passion for their field and described their field of study in an accessible way. They clearly explained the benefit of the GSF funds on their degree completion and how their financial need persists despite other funding. Supporting docs are also very thorough.  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101938580 | The applicant provided a detailed proposal for the gsf grant   | 28 | 18 | 9  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |

|           |  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101938580 | Justification and explanation of the use of funds is clearly linked to academic interests. Financial needs not clearly stated. It is mentioned at the end but lacks details.   | 25 | 20 | 8  | 53 | 60 | 88.33% |
| 101759758 | The application involves both GSF and SRG. Better separate them.   | 18 | 12 | 7  | 37 | 60 | 61.67% |
| 101759758 |  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101759758 |  | 23 | 20 | 8  | 51 | 60 | 85%    |
| 101688359 | Academic/professional interests and financial needs are clearly stated. The use of funds is also clearly stated.   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101688359 |  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101688359 | The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree. Financial needs should be explained more clearly.  | 28 | 17 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101321914 | Complete application: proposal and screenshot of UNM account; background is well clearly stated, very great, including the applicant background, current stage, and plan. Benefits are well described, including degree completion and usage in tuition. Composition is great, clear and general for broader audience, and it is action oriented | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101957597 | Overall, the proposal is sound, and supporting materials are provided, even though the applicant did not use the GPS budget template.  | 25 | 20 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101957597 | Okay application, although the applicant could have explain in detail how it will help toward degree completion  | 28 | 18 | 9  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102058533 | Nicely written.  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |

101890849 Background grade of (26/30 points).

Reasons for this grade: The applicant clearly states their academic focus on designing and analyzing nuclear reactor systems. This applicant also express a well-defined ambition to develop safer and more efficient advanced nuclear reactors, The applicant outlines their financial challenges, explaining they lack an assistantship and rely on limited income (~\$400 monthly after tax) from a grading job. They also mention restrictions as an international student. However more specific and detailed explanation could have been provided about other funding pursued and/or support received.

Benefits Score of (18/20 points).

Reason; The applicant explicitly states that the funds will cover their tuition and fees for the semester, detailing that \$1,636 is required, and the remaining balance will be supplemented by other scholarships. And the applicant mentions the GSF funds will enable them to graduate this semester. While this is compelling, the narrative could have elaborated on the broader impact of completing their PhD (e.g., contributions to nuclear engineering advancements).

Score for Composition: 9/10

|    |    |   |    |    |        |
|----|----|---|----|----|--------|
| 26 | 18 | 9 | 53 | 60 | 88.33% |
|----|----|---|----|----|--------|

101830265 This is a good application. My concern is the use of the funds. But in all, the application is good.

|    |    |    |    |    |        |
|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 30 | 15 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
|----|----|----|----|----|--------|

102004933 Score for Background: 22/30

The applicant identifies their focus on sports and family law and their commitment to social justice, particularly for marginalized communities. However, the articulation of their professional aspirations could be more specific, with clearer connections between their academic focus and long-term career goals. And also, The financial challenges are described, including the inability to afford \$1,000 for books and supplements. But, the explanation of other financial support pursued is somewhat vague, and the applicant could provide more details about unsuccessful attempts to secure other funding. And they only provided screenshot of Amazon prime book store with three list of books and their estimated prices.

Score for Benefits: 15/20

Reason; The proposed use of funds is clear, with a breakdown of costs for casebooks and study supplements. However, the justification could state why these specific materials are critical and what gaps would arise without them. And the applicant mentions the impact of reduced financial strain on their coursework, bar preparation, and professional readiness. yes compelling, but the explanation could better emphasize how this directly impacts their

101716660 You application includes your name which is against the grant code. But this is a good application.

101884765 This is a good application but the supporting documents are not enough.

|    |    |    |    |    |        |
|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 22 | 15 | 8  | 45 | 60 | 75%    |
| 25 | 20 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 20 | 20 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101889689 | <p>The scholarship is a need-based and not for research. You indicated that the GSF will help you to complete a research which is not the purpose of this scholarship. Again, you did not add enough supporting documents. But your application was logical.</p>  | 20 | 5  | 10 | 35 | 60 | 58.33% |
| 101069881 | <p>Score for Background: 23/30</p> <p>Reasons; The applicant provides a broad overview of their academic and professional journey, highlighting their current MHA studies, healthcare-related work experience, and leadership roles. However, the narrative is scattered and lacks a strong, focused articulation of how their academic pursuits align with their long-term career aspirations. The applicant also mentions working multiple jobs to pay for tuition and their desire to graduate debt-free, but the financial need is not clearly quantified or contextualized. While there is mention of student loans from medical school, it is unclear how this relates to their current financial situation.</p> <p>Score for Benefits: 13/20</p> <p>The applicant states that the scholarship would cover half of their payment plan, helping them graduate debt-free. However, the explanation lacks detail about how the funds would be specifically allocated or how this directly impacts their academic success.</p> <p>Secondly, The essay touches on the general benefits of reducing financial strain but does not establish a strong connection between the funding and their ability to complete their degree or achieve their career goals.</p> | 23 | 13 | 8  | 44 | 60 | 73.33% |

|           |   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101497465 | This is a great application. However your spring has no tuition arears. The Fall supporting added does not fall within the grant cycle year, "A year refers to the period between June 1 and May 31. "  | 20 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 66.67% |
| 102019577 | Applicant mentioned name in the essay.<br>Applicant is requesting funds to help cover tuition costs and purchase study materials, however, the supporting document indicates that tuition cost was covered by an assistantship.   | 30 | 15 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102026957 |   | 26 | 19 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102028355 | Minor grammatical mistakes are found, and the applicant's name is disclosed in the GSF proposal (-1)  | 30 | 20 | 9  | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101652910 | Applicant's proposal was well written and gave helpful details about their background and financial situation. Applicant did mention items outside of tuition, fees, and books for intended use of GSF funds. Not sure if it was simply an accidental overlap between their GSF proposal and their SRG/PDG proposals.   | 30 | 18 | 10 | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102014792 | Applicant provided a solid application with supporting evidence for the need of funds. It was clear and concise as well   | 30 | 19 | 10 | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101170420 | Academic and professional interests and goals are clearly stated. The student is requesting funds to pay for books for the next few semesters. GSF is for support only in the current semester according to GPSA grants code 2.B.b . Overall composition is colloquial and not well written. There are numerous grammatical errors and is not well organized. | 25 | 10 | 2  | 37 | 60 | 61.67% |
| 102062777 | The applicant has not attached the supporting materials.  | 30 | 15 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 102026426 |   | 21 | 11 | 4  | 36 | 60 | 60%    |

|           |  |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|-----------|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 101945058 | Academic interests could have been further defined, such as what type of occupational therapy and professional interests were stated, as well as other funding that's been received. Also, it should be stated how GSF funds will be used.   | 29 | 20 | 10 | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101925199 |  | 26 | 20 | 8  | 54 | 60 | 90%    |
| 101925199 | Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.  | 28 | 18 | 10 | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 101321914 |  | 26 | 20 | 10 | 56 | 60 | 93.33% |
| 101382679 | Complete application: proposal and pdf of UNM account; background is well clearly stated, including the applicant background, current stage, and plan. Benefits are well described, including usage in tuition and study relevant materials. Composition is great, clear and general for broader audience, and it is action oriented | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |

101703788 Score for Background: 29/30

Reason; There is Clarity of academic and professional interests, The applicant clearly stated their journey from studying foreign languages and chemistry to pharmacy school, with a transition to healthcare and a future goal of improving healthcare management through an MBA. This demonstrates a clear academic and professional journey. And also, The applicant effectively communicates their financial challenges, including existing student loan debt, reliance on financial aid, and the funding gap for their MBA program. They also explain how the scholarship would address this gap.

Score for Benefits: 19/20

The applicant explicitly states that the funds will be used for tuition, textbooks, course materials, and enrollment fees, providing a detailed breakdown of expenses. The applicant communicates how the scholarship would alleviate financial stress, enabling them to focus on their education and career goals in healthcare. They also link this to broader societal benefits, such as mentoring and supporting students from low-income backgrounds. Although the screenshot from their bursar bill account could have

|   |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
|   | 29 | 19 | 9  | 57 | 60 | 95%    |
| 102058533 This is a good application. Your interest were not clearly stated.                      | 25 | 20 | 10 | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101983944   | 29 | 20 | 9  | 58 | 60 | 96.67% |
| 102058648   | 29 | 20 | 10 | 59 | 60 | 98.33% |
| 101884765   | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 101983944 Applicant has their name in the uploaded documents, resulting in a breach of anonymity. | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |



102019577 The applicant could improve the overall flow of the essay. Applicant could have also been more specific about his academic interest in electrical engineering

|                                 |    |    |    |    |    |        |
|---------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
|                                 | 28 | 20 | 7  | 55 | 60 | 91.67% |
| 101652910 Excellent application | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |
| 102058648 Excellent application | 30 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 100%   |