Applicant Student ID	Reviewer Notes	Background and Research Description - Academic/professional goals and	Benefits - Activities are stated and explained and linked to the larger New Mexico communit	Composition - Proposal flows logically and writing style - Technical	Budget - Applicant used the budget template provided. - Supporting document	Letter of Support - A letter of support from a New Mexico state agency, non-
102023439	Project Title: The Tamarind Institute Goes Global: Exhibition and Publication Program: Dual M.A. Art History & Museum Studies, UNM, Amount Requested: $4,984.21 Currently enrolled UNM graduate student, Dual-degree program (M.A. Art History / Museum Studies), Thesis and capstone project both UNM-based Meets word count requirement? Yes (≈ 1,090 words — within 1,000–1,200 limit for High Priority NMRG) Budget template complete? Yes – Fall 2024 revised template used Required supporting docs included? Yes – faculty recommendation and budget links attached •Academic and professional goals clearly stated — dual M.A. student bridging research, curation, and community engagement. •Project described in excellent detail (what, when, where — Fall 2026 UNM Art Museum exhibition). •Strong integration of field training in Art History and Museum Studies with community benefit and UNM mission alignment •Direct academic benefit — fulfills thesis and capstone requirements, provides curatorial leadership experience. •Strong benefit to New Mexico — enhances cultural heritage and public access through a UNM-hosted exhibition. •Disseminates research via community education and public engagement in printmaking. •Proposal flows logically; academic and public impact are woven coherently. •Technical terms (e.g., “lithography,” “collaborative printmaking”) defined clearly. •Writing is concise, professional, and free of jargon. Budget template and links fully provided Majority of expenses (travel, hotel, meals) not allowed per Section 5.B(3). •Strong faculty endorsement from Dr. Suzanne Schadl / Tamarind Institute team (validated within supporting docs). •Confirms academic supervision, institutional relevance, and New Mexico community benefit. •Fully meets Section 5.F(5)(a) and High-Priority requirements. -Applicant name mentioned in the Grant Proposal which is probably not allowed. -Excellent dual-degree project that merges research, education, and public service in New Mexico’s arts community. -Budget is otherwise complete and well supported but includes significant travel, lodging, meal costs, printing cost for marketing that are not allowed under Section 5.B(3). -If this proposal is accepted even after applicant name disclosure then I recommend funding eligible research materials and equipment ≈ $1279	20	20	10	15	20

102023439	Eligibility & Status, Amount Requested: $___5,000__ 1. Application Compliance, Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (Number?) 1,036 Budget template complete? (Yes), Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes) Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated?, Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)?, Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? • Yes. The applicant is a dual-degree master's student in Art History and Museum Studies at UNM, focusing on printmaking and curatorial practice. • Yes. The project is a Fall 2026 exhibition, Tamarind Goes Global, at the UNM Art Museum in Albuquerque, NM, featuring lithographs, archival research, and interviews with artists and collaborators. Anticipated months are not specified though and this information would have helped explain the budget alittle better. • Yes. The exhibition and accompanying Art History thesis directly relate to the applicant's studies in Museum Studies and Art History and advance professional curatorial and scholarly goals. • Yes. The project provides hands-on curatorial experience, research, and opportunities to publish and present work in their field. • Yes. The project benefits the community and academic audience by making Tamarind Institute's international collaborations accessible, supporting arts education, and engaging local audiences in Albuquerque and across New Mexico. Budge • Budget is justified with links for the most part. There isa Zoom upgrade cost though it is not clear why that is needed since UNM license for the pro is available to students if they just request it. Composition Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) • I recommend disqualification. The proposal contains the applicant's name hence not anonymous as instructed • The NM collaborating agency from which the recommendation came is Tamarind institute, which is a division of UNM and the recommender has a .unm.edu email address. The recommendation itself is about the applicant's value while interning at the agency but does not talk about any research they intend to do or how they would collaborate with the agency and contribute to NM community. With that said, this is a great proposal. It is clear, relevant, and well-written with a research project that has strong community and academic impact.	19	20	10	28	20
102023439	Requests $5000, proposal does meet word count (~1028 words). Budget is complete, detailed, and is the best (and most accurate) budget I have seen. However, student is missing faculty letter of support. Their name is also on their proposal. Student's proposal is easy to follow, and contains all the necessary information about their project, interests, and background. Exact dates for research are unclear. Student mentions an exhibition for the research in Fall 2026, but research will be conducted prior to that. Given that no letter of faculty support was submitted, I unfortunately believe this application must be disqualified.	20	20	10	30	20

102007480	Requests $5000, proposal does meet word count (not including references) (~1030 words). Budget is complete, but no supporting docs were attached. Printing budget link is very generic. No faculty letter of support was submitted. Proposal is easy-to-read and contians all the required info on student's background, interest, and how their project will benefit NM community. Research dates are very general.	20	18	10	18	20
102007480	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: $___5,000__ 1. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (Number?) 1,103 Budget template complete? (Partial) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes) Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? The applicant is a graduate student in Project Management with prior MPA training in health policy, and works as a health policy analyst intern. The project focuses on EMS sustainability in Cibola, Lincoln, and Roosevelt Counties, NM, from November 2025 to February 2026, using secondary data review, stakeholder interviews, and community surveys. Though the timeline of activities is vague. The study links project management, health policy, and public administration, applying the applicant's academic training and professional experience to a real-world problem. The project would help applicant develop skills in planning, stakeholder engagement, mixed-methods research, and presenting results to diverse audiences. • Yes. Academically, the project would contribute to rural EMS research and interdisciplinary teaching. For the NM community, it would inform policy, support local EMS sustainability, and enhance public safety. Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? • Not really. Some items are vague so much that it is hard to know how the costs are estimated e.g. what is the mileage used for reimbursement, unspecified multiple trips, UNM provides Qualtrics to students not justified why pay for a license etc. Composition Yes. The proposal is coherent, clearly organized, and accessible, balancing scholarly depth with community relevance.	20	19	10	20	20
102007480	The research this proposal addressed is of highly importance for New Mexican health care.	20	20	10	30	20

101938580	Requests $5000, does meet word count (~1179 vs 1000-1200). Budget is complete and strong supporting documents are supplied. Applicant's narrative is well-written and clearly defines their area of study and how the research is tied to both the applicant's goals and the larger NM community. Activities and dates are more general, but they are included in the narrative. However, there is no letter from a faculty or advisor attached to the application. Unfortunately, I believe that disqualifies the application.	20	18	10	30	20
101938580	Eligibility & Status, Amount Requested: $____5,000__ (Number of words?) 1,189 , Budget template complete? (Yes), Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes) Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? •Yes. The applicant is a Ph.D. student in Sociocultural and Linguistic Anthropology, focusing on immigration advocacy and community engagement. Yes. Field research will be conducted October 2025–May 2026 in Las Cruces, NM using ethnography, participant observation, interviews, and digital media analysis. Yes. The project directly advances the applicant's dissertation research and training in cultural and linguistic anthropology. •Yes. The project supports dissertation completion, skill development in ethnographic methods, and preparation for postdoctoral/faculty positions. •Yes. The research contributes to scholarship in anthropology, history, and border studies, while providing actionable insights for local immigrant advocacy organizations and New Mexican border communities. Nevertheless, for a project that involves intergarting into the community this much the benefits to the community are conceptual, and concrete examples of expected outcomes (e.g., workshops, reports, policy briefs) could make the impact more tangible. Budget •Yes. detailed, justified and supported budget. Yes. The proposal is coherent, clearly organized, and accessible, balancing scholarly depth with community relevance. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) •Irecommend qualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Great proposal. It is clear, relevant, and well-written with a research project that has strong community and academic impact. It meets the set requirements too.	20	19	10	30	20
101938580	This proposal demonstrate a strong community relevance. This could be improved by specifying deliverables.	19	19	10	30	20

102026635	Amount Requested: $5,000 1. Eligibility & Status ☑ Currently enrolled UNM graduate student. ☑ Proposal directly addresses a New Mexico–based issue (statewide physician workforce). ☑ Meets High Priority grant intent — project benefits NM communities and agencies. 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? ☑ Yes — 1,118 words, within the 1,000–1,200 required range for High Priority. Required supporting docs included? ☑ Yes — faculty recommendation letter (School of Medicine) attached. • Academic and professional interests clearly defined (applied mathematics intersecting health policy). • Research described in precise detail — predictive modeling using survey data from UNM medical students • Strong connection between mathematical training and public health workforce planning in NM. • Clear academic benefit — student applies quantitative modeling to real policy challenges. • Significant benefit to NM — findings can guide state agencies and policymakers to improve physician retention and rural health access. • Interdisciplinary collaboration between Mathematics, Public Health, and Medicine strengthens UNM's public service mission. • Exceptionally clear and professional writing; reads like a journal proposal. • Logical flow: problem → background → hypothesis → methods → benefits. • Minimal jargon; well referenced with credible citations (AAMC, NM Legislature, Searchlight NM). Total= 95/100 Qualified – partial funding. Excellent, data-driven proposal that clearly integrates applied mathematics and public health policy to address New Mexico's physician workforce challenges. The project is methodologically rigorous, well-written, and demonstrates strong statewide impact. Budget is comprehensive and well supported; participant incentives, data software, and printing for recruitment are allowable under §5.B(2). The contingency line is not allowable under §5.B(3)(a)**. So total amount allowed is $4700	20	20	10	25	20
102026635	Proposal flows well and is well-written. Research dates are general, but student's area of interest and the benefits of the research to the larger community are clear.	20	20	10	25	20

102026635	Eligibility & Status, Amount Requested: $____5000__, 2.Application Compliance, Meets word count requirement? (Yes) Budget template complete? (Yes), Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes) Yes. The applicant is a PhD student in Applied Mathematics with an interest in health predictive analysis and explicitly connects their skills to the research. Surveys of about 400 UNM medical students during the 2025–2026 academic year, using predictive modeling and logistic regression for data analysis. The anticipated timing of activities could have been made more explicit though. •Yes. The project applies quantitative and predictive modeling methods directly from Applied Mathematics to a real-world health policy problem. Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? •Yes. Applicant would gain expertise in survey design, statistical analysis, predictive modeling, and dissemination through conferences and publications. •UNM benefits via enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration. New Mexico communities would benefit through evidence-based guidance for policies regarding physician retention and improved healthcare access. Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? •Somehow. Most budget items have supporting links. However, it's unclear why funds are allocated for survey administration software such as Qualtrics, given that UNM already holds a license that is free for researchers. The proposal does not clarify whether there are limitations with the existing license that would require purchasing an additional one. Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? •Yes. The proposal is clearly written, logically organized, and accessible for a broad audience.	20	18	10	20	20
102024766	Requests $5000, proposal does meet the word count (~1127 words). Budget is complete, but the links do not match or are duplicates of the same items listed. Both letters of support are present. Student did a great job of describing their academic interest and how research will benefit their career. They also highlighted the benefits to NM and the community. Research dates are not very specific. Budget items seem inflated for printing and technical services, especially because there are not a lot of specific supporting docs or links to verify listed costs. Student's name is also listed in one of the letters. The budget issues give me pause, but, overall, I approve the application for qualification.	15	20	10	18	20

102024766	Amount Requested: $___5,000__ 1.Application Compliance, Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (Number?) 1,114 , Budget template complete? (Partial) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes), Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? •Yes. The applicant is a master's student in Community and Regional Planning focused on water governance and Indigenous planning. •For the most part. The project will involve GIS mapping, remote sensing, hydrological modeling, in Torreon Chapter and Navajo Nation with a March–December timeline. Not sure if this is for the 2026 year, it's not specified. •Yes. The work directly aligns with environmental planning, GIS, and Indigenous water governance, supporting the applicant's academic and career trajectory. •Yes. The project builds expertise in GIS, hydrological modeling, Indigenous planning, and decision-support frameworks. •Yes. Outputs support Torreon Chapter's land use planning, broader tribal water resilience, UNM research, and potential replicability for other rural communities. •Not really. Some items are vague so much that it is hard to know how the costs are estimated e.g. what is the mileage used for reimbursement, anticipated number of workshop participants for the materials and refreshments etc. Composition The proposal is clear, structured. Though some words to seem and could be explained e.g. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index •Irecommend qualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) •The proposal contains the applicant's name hence not anonymous as instructed •The NM collaborating agency from which the recommendation came is Tamarind institute, which is a division of UNM and the recommender has a .unm.edu email address. The recommendation itself is about the applicant's value while interning at the agency but does not talk about any research they intend to do or how they would collaborate with the agency and contribute to NM community. With that said, this is a great proposal. It is clear, relevant, and well-written with a research project that has strong community and academic impact.	20	20	9	25	20
102024766	Benefits could be improved by quantifying outcomes	18	18	9	30	20
	Disqualified					

102060052	Amount Requested: $____5000__, Meets word count requirement? (No) (Number?) 843 Budget template complete? (Yes), Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes) •The applicant is an MA student in Comparative Literature and Cultural Studies with interests in immigration and language barriers. Largely yes. The project uses interviews, focus groups, and surveys in Albuquerque. It will be implemented over four months but the actual calendar period is not specified Yes. The project integrates humanistic training with applied research and supports the applicant's goal of work in cultural studies, language equity, and public policy. The project will strengthen skills in qualitative research, cultural analysis, and community engagement, and support publications and conference presentations. • Findings will inform local agencies, improve healthcare access for immigrants, provide structured feedback for providers, and support policy recommendations in New Mexico. Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? •The budget appears reasonable overall. However, a small portion—specifically materials and supplies—is grouped together without details or supporting information, such as which suppliers were used for items like the recorder. Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? •Yes. The proposal is clearly written, logically organized, and accessible for a broad audience. 4.Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) I recommend disqualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Great proposal. It is a clear, relevant, and well-written funding proposal with a research project that has strong community and academic impact. However, the word count is way below the minimum 1000 words for high priority hence my recommendation for disqualification.	18	20	8	28	20
102060052	This proposal was written in details and strong	19	20	10	30	20

102060052	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: $5,000 Within High Priority cap. Degree Program: M.A. Comparative Literature and Cultural Studies Graduate student. Community Impact: Addresses language equity and healthcare access for immigrants in New Mexico Application Compliance Word Count: ≈ 828 words Budget Template: Complete and signed GPSA form (links to cost verification included). Required Docs: Faculty letter and NM agency letter present. Degree stage and academic focus clearly stated (M.A. in Comparative Literature and Cultural Studies). Research question and objectives are detailed and methodologically sound. Collaboration with UVNR (New Mexico non-profit) anchors project to local community needs. Clear academic benefit (qualitative research skills, interdisciplinary training). Direct community impact for immigrant populations through policy and programmatic recommendations. Flows logically, well-written, and free of jargon. References properly cited and support contextual depth. Partial funding recommended Strong, community-engaged proposal integrating language, culture, and health equity research with direct impact on New Mexico immigrant populations. The budget is reasonable and well supported; all required letters meet code criteria. Minor issues — the expense on buying recorders- as recorders come under borderline “permanent equipment” (§ 5.B(3)(d)) unless justified as borrowed/temporary. Another budget request on $50 contingency line is not allowed under section 5.B(3)(a)). So total funding recommended = $4800	20	20	10	25	20
102009745	Requests $5000, proposal does meet word count (~1046). The budget template is complete. Their required letter of support was not submitted by an NM agency. The applicant's proposal does cover their interests, an overview of the research, and how the research is tied to their goals. The benefits of the research on the larger NM community and the student's career are highlighted. The budget does not seem reasonable. $1700 for supplies like a voice recorder, paper, ink, and clipboards is unreasonable. Student submitted the budget document as a supporting doc. Applicants are not supposed to apply for both low and high-priority NMRG grants on the same research subject. Applicant applied for both. Because the letter of support did not come from a legitimate NM agency, I recommend that this application be disqualified.	20	20	10	15	0
102009745	Total=79 Disqualify / Do Not Recommend for Funding Faculty letter supports PDG only, not the NMRG research project (§5.F(5)(a)). Collaborating agency is a student, not a New Mexico State Agency (§5.H(3)). Budget includes potentially permanent equipment (Tablet/recorder questionable) without proof of need. Although the proposal itself is strong, it fails mandatory eligibility requirements for the NMRG program.	20	20	10	24	5

102009745	Amount Requested: $____5000__ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (Number?) 1,011 Budget template complete? (Partial) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (No) Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? • Yes. Applicant is a Maste's student in public health with focus on health disparities, health systems, and policy research. • Yes. The proposal is for a mixed-methods study (surveys, interviews and data analysis) in Albuquerque, Santa Fe, and Las Cruces between October and December 2025. Numbers of participants for surveys and interviews are not specified though. • Yes, it aligns with public health research, health services evaluation, and the applicant's career trajectory in health policy and research. The project would strengthen applicant's mixed-methods research skills, engagement with agencies and community partners. It would also support publications and conference presentations. • Yes, project would inform UNM research portfolio, contribute to academic literature on Medication-Assisted Treatment and health disparities, and provides actionable insights for state agencies and providers serving homeless populations.. . Some portions e,g. materials, equipment are bunched together without clear details or supporting information for the estimated costs. Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? • Yes. The proposal is clearly written, logically organized, and accessible for a broad audience. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) I recommend disqualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) No recommendation from a New Mexico collaborating agency as at 10/14/2025 when the review was done. A classmate's recommendation was submitted but does not meet the requirements.	20	19	10	20	0
101652910	Requests $3291.41. Proposal does meet the word count (~1061 words). Budget does not contain links to verify all items, and supporting documents do not show all items either. Neither letter of support has been submitted. Proposal very briefly touches on student's academic interest and how research will benefit their career. There is more info on how the research will benefit the community. Based on the issues with the budget and supporting documents, along with the lack of recommendation letters, I recommend that this application be disqualified.	15	15	10	15	0

101652910	Total= 65 Disqualify / Do Not Recommend for Funding Faculty letter of support and any other NMRG Collaborating Agency Recommendation missing. Budget contains travel funding request which is not allowed for NMRG	20	20	10	15	0
101652910	Amount Requested: $____5000__ 2.Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (Number?) 1,023 Budget template complete? (Partial) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (No) Applicant is a graduate student in Project Management with an MPA in health policy and an internship at NMHA. Their academic and professional background are linked to the project proposal.The project is a four-month mixed-methods case study (Nov 2025–Feb 2026) in Cibola, Lincoln, and Roosevelt Counties, using secondary data review, stakeholder interviews, and community surveys. •Yes. The study integrates project management, policy analysis, and healthcare research, directly supporting the applicant's academic training and career goals. Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? •Yes. The project would applicant's research, project management, policy analysis, and communication skills. •Yes, project would provide data for UNM research, inform EMS policy, and support rural community health and safety. Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? •Not necessarily. Some portions e,g. reimbursements, materials are bunched together without clear details or supporting information. Some softwares e.g. Qualtrics are provided free of charge by UNM which suggests not enough research was done to get a reasonable budget or should have been justified. Composition 4.Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) I recommend disqualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Applicant indicates not being in their program for more than 1 semester. Also, recommendation not received as at October 14th when it was reviewed so application incomplete.	20	20	10	20	0

101911686	Amount requested - $5000. Proposal does not meet word count (~839 words). Budget is complete. Applicant submitted the same budget doc as supporting material. Narrative was written and organized very strangely. There is a paragraph describing why the applicant is a good fit for the project, and it feels very unnecessary. Reads as AI-generated; however, it does touch on all the background and benefits points. Research dates and activites are general, not specific. Applicant's background and the benefits of the research to the community are clear. Budget contains two potentially unacceptable costs (publishing and salary for a design worker, although the design work may fall under acceptable contract work). NMRG applicants are not supposed to apply for both low and high priority for the same research, but this applicant did apply for both. For these reasons, along with the low word count, I would recommend disqualification.	18	18	6	20	20
101911686	Project Title: Safe Water and Told Stories Program: Ph.D. in Geography & Environmental Studies, UNM Faculty Advisor: Dr. Marygold Walsh-Dilley, Community Partner: Neighbors Helping Neighbors (NHN), Mora & Las Vegas, NM Amount Requested: $5,000 UNM Ph.D. student in good standing Community partner in New Mexico High-Priority category fits: large-scale, NM-based, community-benefit research Meets word count requirement? (≈ 839 words) Budget template complete? Yes – 2025 revised Excel version used Required supporting docs included? Yes – faculty & agency letters attached •Academic and professional goals are clear—doctoral research on post-disaster community resilience in northern New Mexico. •Project described in rich detail – water-quality testing and oral-history documentation in Mora & San Miguel Counties •Strong alignment with field (human-environment geography, qualitative & participatory research). •Benefits to applicant – builds advanced community-engaged research skills and fulfills dissertation field component. •Benefits to NM communities – addresses recovery after the state's largest wildfire; provides tangible results (well-water data, published Community Atlas, proceeds to NHN). •Directly strengthens UNM-community partnerships and post-fire policy relevance. •Proposal flows logically – problem → methods → outcomes → benefits. •Technical concepts (LULC, turbidity, Eurofins testing) are clearly explained for non-specialists. •Professional, concise, and free of jargon. Budget violates Grants Code Section 5.B (3) for travel mileage, per diem costs and publishing cost. Recommend approving eligible items ($960) and exclude ineligible expenses(travel mileage, per diem and publishing fee). Qualified – Recommend Partial Funding Adjustment This is an exemplary high-impact project that directly serves New Mexico communities recovering from the Hermit's Peak/Calf Canyon fire. Narrative quality, faculty and agency support, and alignment with GPSA High-Priority objectives are excellent. However, budget violates Grants Code Section 5.B (3) for travel mileage, per diem costs and publishing cost. Recommend approving eligible items ($960) and exclude all other ineligible expenses(travel mileage, per diem and publishing fee).	20	20	10	20	20

101911686	Amount Requested: $____5,000__, Meets word count requirement? (No) (Number?) 839 Budget template complete? (Yes), Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes •The applicant states that the project extends their dissertation research and identifies themselves as a human-environment geographer with nonprofit experience. However, it is not explicit what stage the applicant is at. •Yes. From December 2025 to Spring 2026, the applicant will conduct water testing and story collection in San Miguel and Mora Counties . Yes. The project aligns with the applicant's dissertation and academic focus on community recovery, environmental risk, and resilience Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? •The project extends the applicant's dissertation and builds on their non-profit experience. However, aside from the dissertation, benefits to applicant's growth are implied and could be made more explicit. Not clear if they intend to grow their career in the nonprofit or research path going forward.Yes, the project provides insights into post-wildfire recovery and resilience while directly supporting Northern New Mexico communities through water testing, storytelling, and nonprofit collaboration. Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? •Budget is justified with links Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? •Yes. The proposal is clearly written, logically structured, and accessible, using minimal jargon while maintaining academic rigor Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) •I recommend disqualification. Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Great proposal. It is a clear, relevant, and well-written funding proposal with a research project that has strong community and academic impact. However, the word count is way below the minimum 1000 words for high priority hence my recommendation for disqualification	18	18	10	30	20