

Applicant Student ID	Reviewer Notes	Background: - Interest stated, - Financial need	Benefits: - Explain intentions, use of funds	Composition: - Clear, logical, reasonable - Tech	Total Points	Percent Score
100250897	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (No)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Disqualification because applicant could not maintain anonymity.</p>	28	19	8	55	91.67%
100250897	The application was logically composed. Interest and benefits for the student was also clearly stated.	29	19	9	57	95.00%
100250897	<p>Amount Requested: 1000</p> <p>Budget template complete? No</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualification recommended – Applicant includes identifying information (name) and requests funding for internet connectivity, which is not an eligible GSF expense.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
100368366	<p>Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail.</p> <p>The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.</p> <p>The composition should be improved.</p>	25	12	7	44	73.33%

100368366	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Graduate student: Yes • Credit hours: 6 • Workshop attended: Fall 2025 Workshop Session 2 • FAFSA completed: Yes • Assistantship: No • Amount requested: \$1000 • Supporting documentation: Provided <p>The tuition statement shows Spring 2026 total charges of \$10,157.39, with \$10,142 in anticipated loan credits, leaving a remaining balance of \$15.39 for the current term. The document indicates that the student is relying heavily on federal loans to cover tuition and fees. The applicant states that funding will help reserve loan funds for upcoming semesters where they anticipate a remaining funding gap of over \$3,000.</p> <p>Scoring Background — 28 / 30 Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly identifies academic program (MA in Education – Reflective Practice) • Strong explanation of financial hardship as a single parent, first-generation graduate student, and low-income student • Demonstrates commitment to education and improving educational systems • Provides context for financial challenges and efforts to work multiple jobs <p>Weaknesses</p>	28	18	9	55	91.67%
100368366	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amount Requested: \$ 2. Budget template complete? (Yes/Partial/No) 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes/No) 4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes/No) 5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualify- I don't understand the supporting documentation in relation to the title of costs and loan for the next 3 terms. Including a budget template could have helped explicitly explain. 	30	15	10	55	91.67%

100904931	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. Applicant demonstrates specific needs, such as not having an income, being a primary caretaker for elderly parents, and relying on scholarships to achieve their degree.</p>	20	20	10	50	83.33%
100904931	<p>Eligibility & Status</p> <p>Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>Application Compliance</p> <p>Meets word count requirement? Yes (456)</p> <p>Required supporting docs included? Yes</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes</p> <p>Is the need described in detail? Yes</p> <p>Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes</p> <p>Composition</p> <p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly)</p> <p>I would recommend qualification, as this individual is seeking to offset the cost of tuition, books and fees and this scholarship and this is crucial to their future. Providing funding would allow them to continue fulfilling their obligations in and outside of school.</p>	28	18	10	56	93.33%
100904931	<p>Word count:456</p> <p>The applicant clearly describes financial need and personal circumstances while outlining their academic and professional goals. The proposal explains how GSF funds would support tuition and course related expenses and help the applicant complete their degree on schedule.</p>	25	16	8	49	81.67%

	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Background: Clear professional interests and financial need. Mentions pursuit of other funding sources. Lacks personal narrative or unique circumstances that stand out.</p> <p>Benefits: Very specific about intended use of funds. Clear connection to degree completion.</p>					
101040769	Composition: Professional and well-organized.	27	20	10	57	95.00%
	<p>Reviewer Comment</p> <p>The applicant provides a clear explanation of their academic focus in cybersecurity and business analytics and describes professional goals related to secure information systems and data-driven decision making.</p> <p>The proposal explains the financial challenges of pursuing graduate education while maintaining full-time employment and identifies tuition, course materials, and academic resources as intended uses of GSF funding. Supporting documentation verifies Spring 2026 tuition charges and a remaining balance for the semester.</p> <p>Funding would help offset educational expenses and support the applicant's continued progress toward degree completion.</p>					
101040769		24	16	9	49	81.67%
	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualify - the documentation of support shows the grant would go towards Spring 2026 support not Fall.</p>					
101040769		25	15	10	50	83.33%
	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? n/a</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p>					
101278103	Disqualification: inappropriate use of funds	15	0	5	20	33.33%

101278103	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Background: The applicant clearly states academic and professional interests in cybersecurity and business analytics with relevant internship experience that influenced graduate program choice.</p> <p>Benefits: The scholarship is meant for tuition related expenses and do not include costs such as laptop expenses, essential living expenses, etc.</p> <p>Composition: The proposal flows logical but there are ambiguous such as "aspiring technologists through mentorship including retrieving a job position" is unclear.</p>	25	15	8	48	80.00%
101278103	<p>The applicant should state his/her interest more clearly. Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail.</p> <p>The composition should be improved.</p>	20	16	7	43	71.67%
101570612	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewer Comment <p>The applicant outlines their academic pursuit of an MS in Project Management and describes career aspirations involving PMP certification and potential work with Los Alamos National Laboratory or the Navajo Housing Authority. The proposal also highlights the applicant's commitment to serving their local Navajo community through project management initiatives. The applicant reports financial need due to reliance on student loans and personal responsibilities as a single parent. Supporting documentation confirms a high overall cost of attendance and limited scholarship funding. GSF support would assist the applicant in covering educational expenses and continuing progress toward completion of the graduate program.</p>	25	17	8	50	83.33%

101570612	<p>Amount Requested: 1000</p> <p>Budget template complete? No</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualification recommended – Application clearly specify eligible GSF expenses (tuition, fees, or books) and appears to request general educational expense support.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101570612	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification clearly meets are the requirements needed for the scholarship through clear expression of need with supporting documents</p>	29	20	9	58	96.67%
101664793	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Applicant meets all requirements except completion of budget template</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101664793	<p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) I would recommend qualification, as this individual is seeking to offset the cost of tuition, books and fees and this scholarship and this is crucial to their future. Providing funding would allow them to alleviate financial burdens and continue in their path towards higher education.</p>	25	17	10	52	86.67%

101664793	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. Applicant says that they will use the funds towards tuition and fees, but isn't that specific. Applicant does have four children, a full-time job, and is earning their MBA, so they have need.</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
101715668	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (No)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. Applicant demonstrates specific needs for the scholarship, including having \$500 of textbooks to buy for a single semester.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101715668	<p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly)</p> <p>Although this student has a compelling reason for aid, they failed to provide the required supporting documentation. As such, I must recommend disqualification.</p>	26	17	9	52	86.67%

101715668	<p>Word count: 500</p> <p>The applicant provides a clear description of personal responsibilities and financial need while outlining academic interests in business analytics and cybersecurity. However, the proposal would benefit from clearer organization and adherence to GSF funding guidelines, particularly regarding allowable expenses.</p>	23	15	6	44	73.33%
101727645	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualify - Support provide is for Spring semester from the support provided. Including the budget template could have been nice to show the explicit breakdown of how the grant would be spent if successful</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
101727645	Recommended for GSF.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101727645	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (I recommend this application for qualification because all requirements have been fulfilled)</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101729140	<p>The candidate is a first-generation college student raised by a single mother who has demonstrated resilience and determination in continuing their education despite financial and family challenges. They remain committed to completing their MBA while working as a county intern and serving the local community.</p> <p>Given their financial need, lack of assistantship support, and strong dedication to their academic and professional goals, I recommend this candidate for the GSF Scholarship.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101729140	<p>Background: The applicant demonstrates strong personal motivation and clearly identifies an MBA in project management as the academic goal.</p> <p>Benefits: It's clear how this money will help pay tuition and keep the internship going, but the proposal should explain how one semester of funding connects to finishing the degree and how much the full MBA actually costs.</p> <p>Composition: Very well constructed and clear to understand.</p>	28	18	9	55	91.67%
101729140	<p>The applicant should state his/her interest more clearly. Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail. The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree. The composition should be improved.</p>	20	12	7	39	65.00%
101767190	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Budget template complete? No 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes 4. Anonymity maintained? No 5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualify- not anonymous (name included)</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
101767190	Not recommended for GSF. No budget template for GSF.	27	18	9	54	90.00%

101767190	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (No)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (This application does not qualify only because the applicant was not anonymous.)</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101786165	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (Application qualifies because all requirements were fulfilled)</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101786165	Recommended for GSF.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101786165		30	20	10	60	100.00%
101812160	<p>This candidate is a compassionate and hardworking medical student who remains deeply committed to becoming a physician who truly listens and advocates for patients.</p> <p>Despite managing a chronic illness and facing financial hardship, including tuition dues, they continue to persevere in their studies. I strongly recommend them for the GSF Scholarship, as this support would make a meaningful difference during a challenging time.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101812160	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Background: The story is real and moving. It shows why this person cares and that they really need help.</p> <p>Benefits: The application is clear about what the money will be used for and where things will be in a few years.</p> <p>Composition: The writing flows well and sounds authentic. Just small tweaks needed on the order of ideas.</p>	30	20	9	59	98.33%
101812160	<p>Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail.</p> <p>The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.</p> <p>The composition should be improved.</p>	25	12	7	44	73.33%
101820091	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. The applicant explained well their need for the scholarship money, since they are working 2 jobs while taking 14 credit hours and need to be in the field in future semesters.</p>	25	20	10	55	91.67%
101820091	Recommended for GSF.	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101820091	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (I recommend this application for qualification because the applicant fulfilled all requirements)</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101823590	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualification - Grant goes towards spring expenses.</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
101823590	<p>The applicant clearly explains their goal of working in public service and helping families in New Mexico. They show financial need and explain that the scholarship will help to pay for tuition. The motivation is strong.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101823590	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Credit hours: 6 • Workshop attended: Fall 2025 Workshop Session 1 • FAFSA completed: Yes • Assistantship: No • Amount requested: \$1000 • Supporting documentation: Provided <p>The UNM account statement shows Spring 2026 charges totaling \$3,712.52 with no credits or financial aid applied, confirming that the student is responsible for covering the full tuition and fee balance for the semester.</p> <p>Scoring Background — 26 / 30 Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly identifies program (Master of Public Administration) • Explains professional interest in public sector leadership and community impact • Mentions being a first-generation graduate student • Provides a clear focus on economic and financial empowerment for families in New Mexico <p>Weaknesses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background is somewhat broad and general about academic work • Could provide more detail about current coursework, projects, or professional experience <p>Benefits — 17 / 20 Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly explains how the scholarship would help reduce 	26	17	8	51	85.00%
101837006	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Background: The applicant clearly states academic interests in Speech-Language Pathology with a bilingual focus and explains strong motivation to serve underserved Northern New Mexico communities.</p> <p>Benefits: The proposal explains exactly how GSF funds will be used—tuition, fees, textbooks, and clinical training costs—and connects this support to completing the degree on time.</p> <p>Composition: The writing flows logically from personal motivation to financial need to how funds will be used, with clear language that's easy to understand and no grammatical errors.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101837006	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Applicant meets all requirements except completion of budget template</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101837006	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? N/A</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Partial</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>o Qualification, no barriers</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101861932	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification because applicant was very expressive about the need and benefits.</p>	29	18	7	54	90.00%
101861932	<p>financial needs and interested stated. There was no enough supporting documents to support these claims. The intended use of funds were stated. The application was clearly composed</p>	20	16	8	44	73.33%
101861932	<p>Amount Requested: \$984.99</p> <p>Budget template complete? No</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes but not clear</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualification recommended – Requested expenses are for travel and meals.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101866501	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? N/A</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>o Qualification; no barriers</p>	15	15	10	40	66.67%
101866501	<p>Reviewer Comment</p> <p>The applicant presents a clear commitment to counseling and supporting youth mental health. The proposal demonstrates meaningful professional engagement through practicum and internship training and explains financial need resulting from reduced employment during this intensive stage of the program. Supporting documentation verifies tuition and fee expenses for the current semester. Funding would assist the applicant in covering educational and internship-related costs and support timely completion of their degree.</p>	26	17	9	52	86.67%
101866501	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualify - Clear application which shows need and how it would benefit the applicant if successful. Intended use described could have been included in the budget template to show how the money would be explicitly spent on the expenses shown on the document of support</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%

101872661	<p>Background Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the need described in detail? Yes Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) I would recommend qualification, as this individual is seeking to offset the cost of tuition, books and fees and this scholarship is crucial to their future. Providing funding would allow them to focus fully on their education and lessen stress.</p>	28	19	10	57	95.00%
101872661	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000 Background: The applicant demonstrates clear academic and professional commitment to patient safety and clinical excellence while documenting substantial financial need rooted in foster care background and consistent self-support throughout education. Benefits: The proposal clearly connects funding to degree completion by explaining how financial relief enables focus on complex coursework and clinical training, and specific use of funds—tuition, fees, textbooks, transportation, and living costs—are well articulated. Composition: The application flows logically from background to benefits to fund use, and uses clear language with no grammatical errors.</p>	29	20	10	59	98.33%
101872661	<p>The applicant should state his/her interest more clearly. Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree. The composition should be improved.</p>	20	16	7	43	71.67%

101876677	The applicant clearly explains their academic goals in electrical engineering and shares strong personal challenges that show real financial need. The proposal explains how the scholarship will support tuition and academic costs. The motivation and determination are strong.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101876677	Seems like this person have faced hardship in his life. Has to pay for tuition fee for college as well. I recommend him for the GSF	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101876677		30	20	10	60	100.00%
101880713	<p>Amount Requested - \$1,000</p> <p>Background: The proposal clearly explains the applicant's research focus and provides a convincing description of their financial need as an international student facing visa employment limits and currency devaluation at home. The connection between the final year of the Ph.D. program and the urgency of funding is well stated.</p> <p>Benefits: The applicant clearly states that the funds will be used to cover tuition and explains how this support will help them focus on dissertation completion, publications, and meeting graduation milestones.</p> <p>Composition: The proposal is generally well organized and easy to follow, with a logical flow from academic background to financial need and use of funds. The tone is professional, but there are a few minor grammar issues and some technical terms that could be briefly clarified for readers outside the field.</p>	30	20	7	57	95.00%
101880713	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Applicant meets all requirements except providing template based budget for GSF</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101880713	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? N/A</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Word Count: 351</p> <p>6. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>Disqualification: anonymity</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101897258	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. Applicant needed to buy a new laptop, which they have provided documentation for, that costs about \$1000.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101897258	<p>Eligibility & Status</p> <p>Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>Application Compliance</p> <p>Meets word count requirement? Yes (416)</p> <p>Required supporting docs included? No</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes</p> <p>Is the need described in detail? Somewhat</p> <p>Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Unclear</p> <p>Composition</p> <p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly)</p> <p>I would recommend disqualification, as this student did not provide the required supporting materials. As such, I don't believe this application meets the requirements.</p>	20	12	9	41	68.33%

101897258	<p>Word count: 416</p> <p>The applicant presents a well written proposal that clearly describes their academic interests, strong involvement in the UNM community, and financial challenges while completing their MBA. The narrative effectively explains how GSF funds would support tuition and essential academic expenses during the final semester and help the applicant focus on completing the degree successfully.</p>	26	17	9	52	86.67%
101898440	<p>This person has to pay huge amount of college fee and doesn't have assistantship. So I qualify him for GSF.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101898440	<p>The candidate has outstanding tuition dues and, as an international student without a graduate assistantship, has limited financial support.</p> <p>Given the significant financial need and strong academic commitment, this candidate deserves the GSF Scholarship.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101898440		27	19	9	55	91.67%
101911118	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Budget template complete? Yes 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes 4. Anonymity maintained? Yes 5. Recommendation for Qualification because applicant meets all the requirement needed to qualify for funding.</p>	29	19	9	57	95.00%
101911118	<p>The interest and financial needs were stated. Intended use of funds were stated but does not clearly meet the GSF demands.</p>	25	12	7	44	73.33%
101911118	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Budget template complete? No</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualification recommended – Funds are requested to replenish personal savings for tuition already paid.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101911453	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? N/A</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Partial</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>o Disqualification: Improper documentation</p>	5	10	10	25	41.67%
101911453	<p>This is not a situation of need as perceived from the essay. She has a well established job and is getting assistantship. Therefore, I would like to disqualify her from the fund.</p>	5	5	8	18	30.00%
101911453	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Intended use for funds does not much requirement for GSF. Also Applicant supporting document amount does not match with the requested amount</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101913152		27	19	9	55	91.67%
101913152	<p>This person is in need of the financial aid. Looking at his bursar statement he still needs to pay for \$5000 and giving him the \$1000 from our side, will truly help him.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101913152	<p>The candidate is facing high tuition costs and currently works only in an on-campus position, without a graduate assistantship to offset expenses. Their current income is not sufficient to fully cover tuition and living costs.</p> <p>Given the clear financial need and continued academic commitment, I recommend this candidate for the GSF Scholarship.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

101938381	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? n/a</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>Qualification: No barriers</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101938381	<p>This person is in need of financial aid.</p> <p>Has a lot to pay in bursar as well</p> <p>Doing good for community and seems like a hard working person overall</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101938381	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Applicant meets all requirements except completion of budget template</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101951018	<p>The applicant clearly explains their interest in computer graphics and describes meaningful technical projects.</p> <p>Financial hardship due to a recent injury is explained and shows genuine need. The proposal shows strong motivation and academic focus.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101951018	<p>The interest and financial needs were stated. The benefits and intended use of funds were stated and explained. However, the intended use were not too clear.</p>	23	15	5	43	71.67%
101951018	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification because applicant meets all the requirements and also have the supporting document to show for it.</p>	30	19	9	58	96.67%

101962961	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification because applicant expresses need for the scholarship and provides the needed proof to support claim.</p>	28	19	7	54	90.00%
101962961	<p>The application was not clearly composed. The interest was not clearly stated. Financial need was fairly stated but there was documents to support it.</p>	15	15	3	33	55.00%
101962961	<p>Amount Requested: Not specified but in the form \$1000</p> <p>Budget template complete? No</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualification recommended – Application requests funding to pay for tuition, books, supplies, additional course fees, and internet costs.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101976439	<p>weird case. Not anything is related to GPSA, but he says he wants money to cover for his expenses.</p> <p>well, this fund have it's own principle, so, I disqualify him for the fund</p>	15	10	5	30	50.00%

101976439	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>I recommend this application for disqualification because the student's email, which is listed on their supporting doc, contains their last name and initials. The tone of the proposal is also borderline combative, which does not seem appropriate. The student would be better served seeking funds from organizations that provide funds for living expenses.</p>	30	18	5	53	88.33%
101976439	<p>The need of the applicant is clearly stated. The book cost is clearly shown in the supporting document. The argument against not giving funds to 0.50 assistantship holders is strongly expressed. However, I see repetitions of similar sentences. Sometimes, the formal linguistic aspects have not been followed. It is necessary that we remain formal in our approach, even though there is a matter of disagreement. That said, given the applicant's genuine need, the GSF should be granted. I recommend that the applicant be notified of the need for a formal approach, even though we give him the grant.</p>	30	20	8	58	96.67%
101977557		28	18	9	55	91.67%
101977557	<p>Has to pay tuition fee. wants to study it seems.</p> <p>Came from Nepal to Japan to New Mexico, so seems like he has seen life and works hard</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101977557	<p>The applicant clearly explains their academic journey and passion for computer science. Their international background and work experience show determination and commitment to education. Financial need is well described, especially as an international student. The proposal is sincere and clear.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101983054		30	20	10	60	100.00%

101983054	The applicant clearly explains academic goals in robotics and the financial challenges affecting progress. The proposal describes how the scholarship will help cover education costs and support necessary equipment for coursework and research. The motivation and situation are convincing. Writing is clear too. He should be helped and funded in such a medical need conditions.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
101983054	Candidate is recovering from ACL surgery and seems like he is going through difficult times. I recommend him for GSF Scholarships.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102009465	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification. Student is working and studying part time. Funds would go toward tuition so student can continue with academics.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

102009465	<p>Eligibility & Status</p> <p>Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>Application Compliance</p> <p>Meets word count requirement? Yes (365)</p> <p>Required supporting docs included? Yes</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes</p> <p>Is the need described in detail? Yes</p> <p>Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes</p> <p>Composition</p> <p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly)</p> <p>I would recommend qualification, as this individual is seeking to offset the cost of tuition, books and fees and this scholarship is crucial to their future. Alleviating financial stress would allow them to focus on their degree and research.</p>	28	19	8	55	91.67%
102009465	<p>Word count: 365</p> <p>The proposal clearly describes the applicant's academic interests and financial circumstances while explaining how GSF funds would support tuition and course materials. The narrative is well organized and demonstrates a strong connection between financial support and continued academic progress in the Economics program.</p>	27	17	9	53	88.33%
102011140	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (This application qualifies because they fulfilled all requirements)</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
102011140	Recommended for GSF.	30	20	10	60	100.00%

102011140		30	20	9	59	98.33%
102014880	The applicant presents a clear research focus and strong academic motivation related to climate and water systems. The proposal is well written and logically organized. However, the financial need described in the narrative appears inconsistent with the supporting documentation, which indicates a remaining balance of approximately \$279. While the proposal explains how funding would support continued enrollment and research progress, the requested amount of \$1,000 exceeds the documented immediate financial need.	15	10	6	31	51.67%
102014880	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes.</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes.</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes.</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>I recommend the application for qualification because it has the supporting documents, meets the word count, and touches on all the narrative aspects we ask for, but the request of \$1000 is unreasonable given that the supporting document shows only \$279 as the student's remaining balance for the current semester.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102014880	The PDG application may be granted since the research focus is clear, the conference relevance is well explained, and the writing is professional. The budget for the conference attended is also stated carefully. However, the GSF application does not demonstrate a clear use of funds. The supporting document states that the tuition fee for the current semester is 279 USD. But how the remaining funds will be used has not been clearly stated or shown in the budget. So, I recommend that the applicant be granted only the requested PDG fund.	28	20	10	58	96.67%

102018395	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (No)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Disqualification for failing to remain anonymous.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102018395	<p>Eligibility & Status</p> <p>Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>Application Compliance</p> <p>Meets word count requirement? Yes (490)</p> <p>Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes</p> <p>Is the need described in detail? Yes</p> <p>Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes</p> <p>Composition</p> <p>Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly)</p> <p>I would recommend qualification, as this individual is seeking to offset the cost of tuition, books and fees and this scholarship is crucial to their future. However, they did fail to redact their name from the supporting documents and signed at the bottom, therefore if anonymity is required, I would have to recommend disqualification.</p>	28	17	9	54	90.00%

102018395	<p>Word count:485</p> <p>The applicant clearly demonstrates significant financial need and strong academic commitment, including maintaining a high GPA and active engagement in professional projects. However, the proposal would benefit from clearer organization and a more specific explanation of how the requested funds will be used to support the applicant's academic progress. However, the applicant has mentioned their name at the end of the essay. So this application is disqualified.</p>	25	18	8	51	85.00%
102022640	Name clearly stated in the form, so, I disqualify her for GSF.	0	0	0	0	0.00%
102022640	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>I recommend this application for disqualification because anonymity was not maintained (student's name is on their supporting document), and the supporting document does not give enough information to determine if the screenshot is of the current semester's charges.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102022640	It's a well-written application. The purpose of the fund request is clear, and the research focus is nicely explained. The applicant maintains anonymity as well. Hence, I recommend with confidence that the applicant be granted the GSF fund requested.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102025680	Doesn't look like need based.. couldn't see difficulties in his/her life.. already hold assistanship	5	5	5	15	25.00%

102025680	<p>Amount Requested: \$1,000</p> <p>Background: The passion for printmaking is clear and specific. Shows international student status and real financial constraints.</p> <p>Benefits: Very specific about what funds will be used for—tuition and art materials.</p> <p>Composition: Writing is clear and professional. Some repetition of financial stress theme. Structure could be tighter.</p>	30	20	9	59	98.33%
102025680	<p>Financial needs should be explained more clearly. The applicant should explain the usage of GSF funds in more detail.</p> <p>The applicant should explain more about how this fund will help the applicant to complete the degree.</p> <p>The composition should be improved.</p>	25	12	7	44	73.33%
102027359	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$450</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Disqualification because applicant did not main the anonymity requirement. The supporting document has the applicant's name on it.</p>	29	19	9	57	95.00%
102027359	<p>The application was clearly composed. The benefits and financial needs were stated well. The intended use of funds were also clearly articulated.</p>	30	19	9	58	96.67%
102027359	<p>Amount Requested: 450</p> <p>Budget template complete? Partial</p> <p>Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Qualification recommended – Requested expenses are for tuition, fees, and books, which are eligible GSF expenses.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%

102056863	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Disqualify - Not anonymous (ID was visible) and the grant would support Spring 2026 expenses not Fall. Budget template could have given an explicit indication of what specific bill would be met.</p>	30	15	10	55	91.67%
102056863	<p>He sounds like he is in need of funding. Being an unfunded PHD student is really hard to manage. His needs are really crucial so he should be funded.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102056863	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Credit hours: 9 • Workshop attended: Fall 2025 Session 2 • FAFSA completed: Yes • Assistantship: No • Amount requested: \$1000 • Supporting documentation: Provided <p>The tuition statement shows Spring 2026 charges totaling \$5,351.14, including tuition and course fees, with no current payments or financial aid applied, confirming the applicant currently carries the full tuition balance. This supports the financial hardship described in the proposal.</p> <p>Scoring Background — 27 / 30</p> <p>Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly explains academic stage as a second-year PhD student preparing for candidacy • Provides a specific and compelling financial hardship (loss of NSF funding and research assistantship) • Demonstrates proactive efforts to secure alternative funding (TA applications, CTL tutoring job, scholarships) • Shows strong commitment to continuing research and contributing to the academic community <p>Weaknesses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some portions of the background focus on narrative explanation rather than academic research goals • Could briefly describe the specific research area in 	27	18	9	54	90.00%

102061329	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? (No)</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes)</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (Recommendation for Qualification because all requirements were fulfilled)</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102061329	<p>Not recommended for GSF. No budget template for GSF. benefits are quite generic. Other students might hold a job to support their study as well. So, the applicant should have demonstrated the difficulties in their situation in more details</p>	28	19	9	56	93.33%
102061329	<p>Candidate is not anonymous. Also the applicant have assistanship, i reject this candidate for GSF.</p>	10	5	5	20	33.33%
102080161	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? Yes</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? No</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>I recommend this application for disqualification because anonymity was not maintained (student's name is on their supporting doc), and their proposal narrative does not meet the minimum word count.</p>	20	20	10	50	83.33%
102080161	<p>The application is underwritten. It is expected that the applicants write at least 350 words, but in this application, I see only 266 words. Although the need for the fund is clearly stated, the application's formal requirements are not met. Hence, I recommend that the fund not be granted this time.</p>	25	20	10	55	91.67%

102101820	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? N/A</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity)</p> <p>o Qualification, no barriers</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102101820	<p>This person can be qualified for GSF, but doesn't qualify for SRG, as it has no link with research or whatsoever.</p> <p>Could be considered for partial amount for the GSF, since compared to other candidates, he has lot less to be paid</p>	13	8	6	27	45.00%
102101820	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$ 1000</p> <p>2. Budget template complete? No</p> <p>3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes</p> <p>4. Anonymity maintained? Yes</p> <p>5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Applicant meets all requirements except completion of budget template</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102103252	<p>The applicant clearly explains their academic interests and financial challenges as an international student. The proposal describes how the funds would support tuition and allow greater focus on academic and artistic work. Writing is generally clear but contains some grammatical issues. The supporting document also includes the applicant's name, which does not follow the anonymization requirement.</p>	20	15	7	42	70.00%

102103252	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Budget template complete? Yes 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes 4. Anonymity maintained? No 5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity) I recommend this application for disqualification because the student has their name on their supporting document.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102103252	<p>This is a well-written application. The research focus is clearly stated, and the use of funds is specifically explained. Anonymity is maintained, and the word count is within expectations. So, I recommend that the grant be given to the applicant.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102118554	<p>Candidate haven't redacted the name on the supporting doc. Rejecting the candidate for GSF</p>	10	10	5	25	41.67%
102118554	<p>1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Budget template complete? Yes 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? Yes 4. Anonymity maintained? No 5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly why, e.g., word count, anonymity) I recommend this application for disqualification because the student's name is in their proposal and on their supporting document.</p>	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102118554	<p>The applicant's need for the scholarship is genuine since there is a substantial amount of tuition fees in the bursar account of the applicant. The use of the fund is clearly stated. However, the issue of anonymity is clearly not maintained. This might lead to disqualification. That said, the applicant may be granted the fund since her need is genuine. We can give a warning regarding maintaining anonymity in future applications.</p>	29	19	9	57	95.00%

102122008	Word count: 485 The applicant clearly explains financial hardship and the circumstances surrounding tuition payment and limited employment opportunities. The proposal demonstrates genuine need and explains how GSF funding would help reduce financial pressure and support continued enrollment in the graduate program.	24	16	6	46	76.67%
102122008	Recommended for GSF.	30	20	10	60	100.00%
102122008	1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Budget template complete? (No) 3. Required supporting documentation for the budget included? (Yes) 4. Anonymity maintained? (Yes) 5. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (Application qualifies for funding because all requirements have been fulfilled. Applicant did not state her interest.	25	20	10	55	91.67%