

Applicant Student ID	Reviewer Notes	Background: - Interest stated, - Financial needs - Pursued other funding (not required)	Benefits: - Explains intended use of funds. - Explains how it will help toward degree completion	Composition: - Clear, logical, reasonable - Technical terms defined	Total Points
100134206	Interest is not clearly stated. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree.	22	17	10	49
100134206	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Yes (approx. 400 words) The narrative outlines broad categories of use (tuition, books, software, professional development) The applicant is pursuing a master's degree in Project Management and clearly states a desire to build leadership and strategic planning skills. Is the activity/research/project described in detail? The narrative is focused on coursework and academic progress rather than a discrete research project, which is acceptable for GSF applications. The applicant also outlines professional development goals like certification workshops and conferences. The applicant shows strong alignment between their academic work, professional aspirations in project leadership, and personal development goals. Yes. The narrative makes a clear case for how GSF funding will help the applicant stay enrolled, participate in learning opportunities, and pursue certification or conferences that will enhance employability. The applicant connects their story to broader representation by first-generation students, single parents, and those overcoming significant life adversity, showing how their perseverance can inspire others. Yes. The funds will go toward tuition, books, software, and professional development. While a full itemized budget is not included, the request is appropriate for a GSF. The narrative is clear, personal, and compelling, with a good balance of personal background and future goals.	30	20	10	60

100134206	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ __1000__ Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes Number= 406) Evaluation of Narrative The applicant clear stated his background and moved forward to categorically state what the funds will be used for and how this will contribute to his or her professional development effectively. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies because it meets all the requirement through emphasis on how the funds will help in being more committed academically and help his or her professional growth.	27	19	9	55
100816784	Word count: Yes (≈ 460 words) Background: Academic and professional goals clearly stated – enrolled in MPM program to advance career in sustainability and energy management Demonstrates extensive professional experience (20 years) and specific role as Energy Specialist for City of Albuquerque Financial need articulated – pursuing degree while supporting family, paying tuition for self and children, working two jobs Benefits: Clear use of funds – to cover tuition, books, and registration fees Directly linked to degree completion and career advancement Demonstrates broader impact on public sector and community sustainability Composition: Proposal is logical, cohesive, and polished Writing is concise and action-oriented, with minimal technical language Readable to a general audience	28	19	10	57
100816784	Interest should be stated in more detail. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	22	17	8	47
100816784	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ __1000__ Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes/Number= 415) Evaluation of Narrative The background was well explained and with right connections to how it will contributes to academic and professional development. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies because all the necessary requirements are might and there is a clear explanation on how the scholarship with contribute to the professional growth and the larger development of the city.	27	18	8	53
100904931	Recommended for GSF; meets funding criteria.	28	17	8	53

100904931	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (455) Budget template complete? (N/A) Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Abbreviations such as AOP, MSPM and PLUS Loan were not defined Background Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was great and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	8	58
100904931	1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application meets word count, and a supporting document is included and justified 3. Academic and professional interest was stated, but acronyms like OAP MSMP were not explained. 4. This application followed all instructions to make a qualified application	30	20	8	58
101252128	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word count (350–500 words): Yes (≈ 440 words) Strong personal story of resilience and transformation — recovery from addiction, cleared record, and renewed academic purpose Demonstrates exceptional motivation and determination as a single mother and sole provider Financial need clearly stated — relies on loans and one scholarship with remaining unmet need Clearly states how funding will be used — tuition and books Shows direct benefit to degree completion and financial stability Highlights broader impact — breaking generational cycles and serving as a role model for her children Narrative flows smoothly and is emotionally engaging Plain language with strong clarity and focus Slight redundancy in middle section but no issues with grammar Recommendation This applicant requested for \$1,000, but only provided supporting evidence for \$76.22. So, \$76.22 is my recommended award amount	29	19	9	57
101252128	Interest should be stated clearly. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	22	17	8	47

101252128	Amount Requested: \$1,000 (approximately 480 words) The applicant identifies tuition, books, and related fees as intended uses of funds, but no itemized budget or attached documentation is mentioned. Evaluation of Narrative Somewhat. While the applicant does not specify the exact degree program, the narrative focuses on higher education as a vehicle for transformation and family stability. Clarifying the degree field would strengthen the application. However, the applicant clearly describes how funding will be used (tuition, books, fees). Yes. The funding directly supports educational progress toward a degree that will allow the applicant to provide for her family and achieve long-term stability. Yes. Financial assistance will alleviate economic stress, allowing continued enrollment and focus on studies while balancing work and parenting responsibilities. Yes, indirectly. The applicant's story of recovery, resilience, and perseverance serves as an example of transformation and determination. The degree will also enable her to contribute meaningfully to her community and family's future. The requested \$1,000 is appropriate for tuition and book expenses, though a detailed breakdown would strengthen the justification.	20	15	10	45
101278103	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word Count (350–500): Yes (~400 words) Background Professional and academic goals clearly defined — advancing from Family Nurse Practitioner to Doctorate-level Nurse Practitioner Highlights over a decade of nursing experience and community service background (Army National Guard and NM Medical Reserve Corps) Demonstrates strong motivation, family responsibilities, and continued service commitment Benefits Clear use of funds — tuition, books, and supplies Direct link to academic and community impact — improving healthcare access in NM through advanced training Emphasizes family, education, and service values Composition Strong, organized, and professional tone Personal and community-centered writing style Minor sentence structure errors but clear and compelling overall Recommendation The applicant is requesting for \$1,000 but provide supporting document for \$103.56. Unless otherwise determined, I am recommending that \$103.56 be awarded if selected.	28	19	9	56

101278103	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ 1000 ____ Application Compliance Required supporting docs included? (Yes)Number=464) Evaluation of Narrative The applicant was able to attach effectively on his academic and professional background but was not too explicit on how this funding will beneficial to the community. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies for funding because there is a clear expression of financial need with the required prove and also all the basic requirements for the funds qualification were meet by the applicant.	25	16	8	49
101278103	outcomes are not explicitly related to degree completion	28	15	10	53
101580126	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word Count (350–500): Yes (~400 words) Background: Professional and academic goals clearly defined — advancing from Family Nurse Practitioner to Doctorate-level Nurse Practitioner Highlights over a decade of nursing experience and community service background (Army National Guard and NM Medical Reserve Corps) Demonstrates strong motivation, family responsibilities, and continued service commitment Benefits: Clear use of funds — tuition, books, and supplies Direct link to academic and community impact — improving healthcare access in NM through advanced training Emphasizes family, education, and service values Composition: Strong, organized, and professional tone Personal and community-centered writing style Minor sentence structure errors but clear and compelling overall	28	19	9	56
101580126	Interest should be stated in more detail. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The applicant's proposal has 261 words (fewer than 350 words). The composition needs to be enhanced.	22	17	5	44

101580126	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ __ 1000 __ Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes Number= 261) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes Evaluation of Narrative The academic/professional interests & degree stage were clearly stated but the applicant could not emphasis on how her degree will benefit the state overall after completion clearly. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies for the fund because majority of the basic requirements are meet with a prove of balance fees and also clearly state interest.	25	15	7	47
101581119	1. Eligibility & Status Eligible; currently enrolled M.A. in Education student (6 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes (397) Budget: Complete and supported Documents: Provided 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Clearly describes academic goals and professional role as a special education teacher; strong justification of financial need. Benefits: Demonstrates clear academic and community impact through continued service and leadership in special education. Budget: Reasonable and well-documented (tuition, books, fees). Composition: Well-written, organized, and professional. 4. Recommendation Qualify. The applicant meets all requirements, provides strong justification of need, and shows clear professional and educational benefits.	30	20	10	60
101581119	-Requesting \$1000 -Meets word count -Provide sufficient supporting documents -Good narrative, concise and flowed logically -Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly -Qualifies for grant *Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely.	30	20	10	60
101581119	Recommend for qualification: applicant is working full-time with a family, is receiving no support from UNM, government, or outside source, and essay clearly explains how this will directly help with degree completion.	30	20	10	60
101698380	Recommended for GSF. Applicant meets criteria for funding.	30	20	10	60

101698380	Amount Requested: \$1000 Application Compliance Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Background Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was great and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60
101698380	Financial need were stated and explained. Interest were stated. The supporting document indicates that there are some tuition left to be paid. Overall, great composed application.	30	20	10	60
101709626	1. Eligibility & Status Eligible Ph.D. candidate in Philosophy, enrolled full-time (9 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes-397 Budget: Complete Documents: Included 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Strong, clear summary of dissertation focus and academic goals. Benefits: Funding will ease financial strain and support timely degree completion. Budget: Reasonable and justified. Composition: Well-written and professional. 4. Recommendation Qualify. Clear financial need and strong academic justification; meets all requirements.	30	20	10	60
101709626	GSF Reviewed. -Requesting \$1000 -Meets word count -Provided sufficient supporting documents -Good narrative, concise and flowed logically -Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly -Qualifies for grant *Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely.	30	20	10	60

	dissertation related				
101709626		30	20	10	60
	Amount Requested: \$1000 ____ Meets word count requirement? Yes - 350 Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Not applicable Background Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes professional and academic background Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where) No Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Benefits - Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? - Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes				
101716660		27	16	8	51
	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Unsure but given estimated cost of attendance, I would assume so 2. Application Check Meets word count? No Word count: 342 Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Budget Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Yes Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes : Although this student fell short of the word count, their application is compelling and they are a qualified candidate for funding. The grant would allow them to continue their studies and				
101716660		25	15	9	49

101716660	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1,000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes (350) Budget template complete? N/A Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes Academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated: - Yes – Graduate student at UNM focusing on Museum Studies; first-generation college student from Santa Fe. - Sought outside funding Activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where): N/A Clearly tied to applicant’s field and/or goals: - Yes – Coursework in Museum Studies plans to pursue archival work. Benefits Budget reasonable, well researched, and justified: - - Yes Composition Proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon: Yes – clear, coherent, and well organized. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification Why: No disqualification criteria was met, applicant pursued outside funding, demonstrated need, well written.	30	18	10	58
101723505	Recommended for GSF. Applicant meets criteria for funding.	28	19	9	56
101723505	financial needs and intended use of the funds were clearly stated and explained. Background and interest were also explained. The supporting document clearly indicates that there is a tuition need. Overall, the application was logically composed. However, the applicant added his/her name to the application.	30	20	5	55
101723505	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word Count (350–500): Yes (~450 words) Background Academic purpose clearly described — pursuing GIS for equitable urban & transportation planning Demonstrates social awareness of how data representation affects marginalized communities Financial need clearly stated — .25 FTE assistantship without tuition remission; limited savings and dual-student household Benefits Specific use of funds — tuition balance of \$1,706 to be partially covered by GSF Clear benefit to degree completion and campus engagement Emphasizes broader impact through participation in Graduate Student Union and Institute of Transportation Engineers Composition Strong writing quality; clear and professional tone Logical organization and no technical jargon Persuasive connection between personal values and academic goals	28	19	10	57

101729140	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: 1000\$ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes 489 Budget template complete? n/a Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes c/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? - Yes - First semester M.B.A, wants to improve job prospects and future Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? n/a Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? - Yes, hopes to pursue upper management Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? - Yes, pay remaining tuition which will allow him to continue studies Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? - Yes hopes to give back to UNM students and share resources. Budget Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - Some grammar, punctuation, and style issues. Unclear at times. Why: (2-3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Meets all requirements, demonstrates need, hopes to give back to UNM students.	30	20	5	55
101729140	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$_1000____ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes (Number= 489) 3. Evaluation of Narrative The applicants professional and academic interest was stated right but it was difficult to connect how will be be beneficial to the larger states. Also the was not too logical. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies because there is a clear expression of financial need and with prove attached.	23	15	6	44

101729140	Amount Requested: \$1000 Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (489) Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Some abbreviation were not defined (e.g., S.E.E.D) Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Yes Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was good and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	8	58
101771815	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$708 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 387 3. Narrative Evaluation Background Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Budget Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Yes Writing Quality The applicant has highlighted their financial hardship and they are using all of the resources available to them to continue their education. As such, I recommend that they qualify, as all of the necessary requirements are met.	27	18	10	55

101771815	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$708 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes – 389 words Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? – Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) Background • Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes • Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Not applicable • Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? – Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Composition	29	19	10	58
101771815	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$___708__ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes Number= 389) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative The applicants academic/professional interests & degree stage were clearly stated emphasis on how it will help him or her remain academically focus. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualifies for the fund because the application meets are the criteria needed to be consider for the funds and also the prove of the financial balance directly correlate.	26	20	9	55
101820091	-Requesting \$1000 -Meets word count -Provided sufficient supporting documents -Good narrative, concise and flowed logically -Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly -Qualifies for grant *Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely.	30	20	10	60

101820091	Requests \$1000, word count is within the limit (~408). A screenshot of their bursar's was submitted. Their proposal is well-written and explains their field of interest, how the money will benefit their degree completion, and how they pursued other funding. Student mentioned quite a few potential uses of GSF funds, but not all were included in the supporting materials. Assuming GSF funds would be spent on the charges in the screenshot, I recommend the application for qualification.	30	15	10	55
101820091	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not clearly stated but I would assume so given the expected graduation date 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 416 3. Narrative Evaluation Background Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Benefits Budget Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Yes Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes Their proposal was very heartfelt and well written and it appears they have a strong reasoning behind pursuing their education. However, their use of funds does not entirely make sense in comparison to the supporting materials they provided. It appears as though the student has not only received outside funding, but also paid off their account through an ACH payment rather than a loan. It is unclear whether this was from a loan service or was fully paid off by the student, making the financial need unclear.	28	15	9	52
101876334	Recommended for GSF. Applicant meets the criteria for funding.	30	20	10	60
101876334	1. Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application meets word count with a reasonable supporting document. 3. The applicant did not clearly state his degree, but stated his academic and professional interests clearly 4. The application qualifies as all instructions needed to make a qualified application were followed	28	20	10	58
101876334	Requests \$1000, word count is within limit (~473). Their supporting materials do show charges for the fall semester. The applicant went into detail about their academic interest, but they also mentioned how the funds will benefit them. They were clear that the GSF funds will be used for tuition.	30	20	10	60
101890849	I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60

	\$1,000 Yes (Word count: ~430) Yes – Clear financial need and use of funds are described. Applicant provides an approximate total tuition cost (\$1,680), outlines the gap (\$680), and explains how the GPSA funding would be applied. Required supporting docs (financial aid details, other funding attempts) included? Applicant references other funding applications (Centro de la Raza, GEO, SoE Fellowships, departmental assistantships) Applicant is a Ph.D. candidate in the final semester of Nuclear Engineering, working on Generation IV nuclear reactor design—a critical and highly technical field focused on clean energy innovation. Emphasizes unique financial and legal constraints faced as an international student: Ineligible for most U.S. nuclear-related assistantships due to security clearance restrictions Unable to work off-campus, and only earning ~\$400/month via grading Actively applying for other scholarships and assistantships to close remaining funding gap Tuition and fees total \$1,680 for a single dissertation course, and the requested \$1,000 would cover approximately 60% of this, enabling the applicant to complete their program. The applicant is in their final semester of a rigorous Ph.D. program and presents a clear, urgent need for tuition assistance. Their financial and legal constraints as an international student are significant and well-articulated, and their academic work aligns with global energy solutions. The \$1,000 request is reasonable, strategic, and would directly enable degree completion.				
101890849		30	20	10	60
	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (431) Budget template complete? (N/A) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (N/A) 3. Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Background Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Yes Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A				
101890849		30	20	10	60

	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word count: Yes, approx. 480 Background Applicant's academic/professional interests clearly stated — pursuing MA in Counseling, aiming for LPCC for children and families Financial need explained well — continuous tuition payments, commuting costs (\$500/semester gas & parking), limited income Other support acknowledged — one prior scholarship covered ¼ of a semester Benefits Clear use of funds — to offset tuition and parking expenses Tied to degree completion — helps maintain financial stability during practicum and internship Articulates academic and community impact — plans to serve New Mexico children and families Composition Clear, logical, and concise writing Flows smoothly with a personal yet professional tone Minor grammar issues, but nothing affecting clarity				
101898298		27	18	9	54
	\$1,000 (Word count: ~500) While specific needs are described (commuting costs, parking fees, future rent) Applicant is in their second year of the Master of Arts in Counseling program and is preparing to enter the practicum and internship phase, which often requires significant time commitment and unpaid clinical hours. Expresses clear and heartfelt motivation for working with young children and families, inspired by both personal experiences (cousin with Down syndrome) and academic training. Demonstrates an understanding of culturally inclusive, strengths-based practices in mental health care and early childhood development. Strong alignment with long-term goal of becoming a Licensed Professional Clinical Counselor (LPCC). Financial need is clearly described: Commuting costs (\$500 per semester) Anticipated move to Albuquerque (rent, utilities, groceries) Past scholarship support covered only a small portion of tuition Limited income (occasional babysitting) Applicant presents a realistic and mature view of financial constraints and is proactively seeking support to avoid excessive student loan debt. Plans to apply funding directly toward tuition and parking, in line with GSF goals. Narrative is personal, well-articulated, and clearly conveys both financial need and academic commitment.				
101898298		30	20	7	57
101898298	the student is a self motivated researcher	29	20	10	59

101925199	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word count: Yes (≈ 480 words) Background: Applicant clearly states academic focus (Biomedical Engineering) and career goal to advance healthcare technologies such as medical devices and regenerative medicine Financial need is well explained — self-funded, no assistantship, and past semesters fully paid out of pocket Details family strain and balancing multiple jobs to afford tuition Benefits Use of funds clearly stated — tuition, books, software licenses, and research materials Explains how funding will enable completion of degree, participation in research and internships Demonstrates broader impact through future contribution to health equity and innovation Composition: Proposal flows logically and reads professionally Minimal technical jargon; appropriate for general audience Strong closing paragraph that links personal story to academic goals	27	19	9	55
101925199	Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	26	17	8	51
101925199	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Meets Word Count Requirement? Yes (~470 words) Budget Template Complete? The narrative clearly identifies how funds will be used (tuition, textbooks, software, professional development). Academic and Professional Interests: Clearly stated. Focus on medical devices, regenerative medicine, and addressing health disparities through biomedical engineering is strong and socially impactful Financial Need: Well-documented. Applicant is self-funded, covering tuition and living expenses independently, with limited support from family and part-time work. Demonstrates realistic financial pressure and motivation to remain enrolled. Tuition and fees for continued enrollment Educational resources (textbooks, software licenses, research access) Professional development (conferences, workshops) Academic Progress & Professional Readiness: Strong case. Funding will reduce financial stress, improve focus on coursework, research, and internships, and enable participation in collaborative and interdisciplinary projects.	30	20	10	60
101945058	Applicant recommended for GSF: Needs \$500.	25	15	7	47

101945058	1. Applicant requested an amount of \$1000 2. Application meets word count, but the supporting doc only shows a \$500 tuition balance and does not show the cost of textbooks mentioned in the essay. 3. The academic and professional interest and benefit to the academic and professional community are clear, but the supporting document is partial. 4. This application qualifies as the applicant followed all the instructions to make a qualified application	30	15	10	55
101945058	In the supporting document, your anticipated credits exceeds the charges. Leaving the applicant with a refund of 500. The application was great. The interest and background were stated, explained and clearly composed.	30	10	10	50
101945981	1. Eligibility & Status Eligible; M.A. student in Special Education, enrolled full-time (9 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes-431 Budget: Complete and supported (tuition verified) Documents: Included 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Clearly presents strong commitment to inclusive education and support for students with disabilities; effectively connects teaching practice with academic goals. Benefits: Demonstrates clear financial need and strong academic motivation; highlights meaningful personal and professional impact. Budget: Reasonable and tied to educational and living expenses directly affecting degree completion. Composition: Well-organized, clear, and sincere in tone. 4. Recommendation Qualify. The applicant meets all eligibility and compliance requirements, showing clear dedication to special education and strong justification of financial need.	30	20	7	57
101945981	-Requesting \$1000 -Meets word count -Provided sufficient supporting documents -Good narrative, concise and flowed logically -Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly -Qualifies for grant *Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely.	30	20	10	60
101945981	Recommendation for Qualification: the student is very explicit about their financial need as well as their career interest. They do mention using the funding for food, which does fall outside of scholarship bounds, but does also mention tuition, books, and gas to and from school	30	10	10	50

101955286	1. Eligibility & Status Eligible; MPH student in the College of Population Health, enrolled full-time (13 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes-444 Budget: Complete (balance \$3,982.94 verified) Documents: Included 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Strong academic and professional profile with six years of medical experience and a clear focus on maternal and child health equity. Benefits: Clearly explains how funding will relieve financial pressure and support focused academic and research engagement. Budget: Well-justified and directly tied to tuition and fees. Composition: Highly professional, coherent, and impactful. 4. Recommendation Qualify. The applicant demonstrates financial need, strong academic merit, and a clear plan to apply public health training toward improving maternal and child health outcomes in New Mexico.	30	20	10	60
101955286	-Requesting \$1000 -Meets word count -Provided sufficient supporting documents -Good narrative, concise and flowed logically -Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly -Qualifies for grant *Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely.	30	20	10	60
101955286	Recommendation for Qualification: student clearly explains the financial deficit in their tuition, and their background and studies are clearly expressed.	30	20	10	60
101976620	Applicant not recommended for GSF. Insufficient material evidence for funding.	17	15	7	39
101976620	The supporting document is strong. Interest and background were clearly stated. The use of the funds were clearly state. Financial needs were explained. The application was clearly composed.	30	20	10	60
101976620	Recommendation for Qualification: The essay doesn't go into depth about the students background other than their degree and current position, but its still there. Student needs funds for general tuition coverage and is set to graduate in Spring 26.	20	15	10	45

102000446	Amount Requested: \$878.09 Meets word count requirement? Yes (500) Budget template complete? n/a Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? PhD in Language, Literacy, Sociocultural Studies Doctoral studies linked to counseling work and educational transformation in NM Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes - will directly cover books and fees is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Qualify Meets all requirements, well written and logical.	30	20	10	60
102000446	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ 878.09 ____ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes (Number= 500) Budget template complete? (Yes budget added Required supporting docs (Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background The applicant was not too specific on clear on her specific degree and benefits align. making it difficult to grasp even though there was the expression of financial need. The goals were not explicitly clear. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant qualifies for the funding because there is a clear expression of need and prove of purchase of books.	27	16	8	51
102000446	Requests \$878.09, word count is within the limit (~499 words). Their supporting materials show their tuition payment and book purchases. Their proposal highlights their academic interest and the financial needs of the student. There is a very brief mention of tuition and books that would presumably be paid for by GSF funds. Student's application meets GSF requirements. I recommend it for qualification.	30	17	10	57

	Amount Requested: \$1000 Meets word count requirement? Yes - 498 3. Evaluation of Narrative • Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes it is clearly stated • Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Not applicable • Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? - Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Composition				
102014792	Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes	30	20	9	59
	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Yes 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 500 3. Narrative Evaluation Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Yes Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation Qualification Decision: Qualify Reason (2–3 sentences): The applicant is determined to succeed in school despite the numerous barriers she faces financially and appears to give back to the community in a meaningful way. Given the cost of attendance and limited funds available to her, I recommend that they qualify.				
102014792		28	18	10	56

102014792	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes (498 words) Budget template complete? N/A Evaluation of Narrative Background: - M.A. Counseling student, final three semesters. - Completing practicum at Manzanita Counseling Center. - Focused on equitable mental health care for immigrants and LGBTQIA+ communities. - Connects personal experience as a first-generation Latina to professional goals. - Learning holistic healing practices to serve diverse clients. Benefits: - Funding will cover tuition during internship semester. - Income will decrease due to internship workload (12–15 clients, ~30 hours/week). - Supports ability to provide free counseling and focus on coursework. Budget: - \$1,000 Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification Recommendation: Disqualify for funding Why: Student will use fund for next semester tuition, not the current.	30	10	10	50
102018395	Recommended for GSF. The applicant meets the funding criteria for GSF.	28	17	9	54
102018395	Amount Requested: \$1000 Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (479) Budget template complete? (N/A) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (N/A) 3. Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Yes Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was great and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60

102018395	1. Amount Requested \$1000 2. Application meets word count with a support doc attached. 3. There is clear proof of financial need, but academic interest was not very clearly stated, and the use of funds was also clearly stated. 4. This application qualifies for GSF because all materials and documents needed to make a qualified application were made	27	20	10	57
102023423	Interest should be stated in more detail. Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	22	17	8	47
102023423	\$1,000 Yes (~480 words) While the narrative clearly outlines funding needs (ceramic materials, tools, medical expenses, documentation, and printing). Applicant is pursuing a studio art degree with a ceramics focus, integrating cultural storytelling and design with historical research. States a clear academic and professional goal: to become a teaching artist contributing to intellectual and artistic discourse. Financial hardship is convincingly detailed, especially in relation to: High costs of ceramic practice (materials, tools, glazes, documentation, studio expenses) Healthcare expenses related to managing a medical condition (sleep apnea), including ongoing equipment maintenance and treatment Lack of access to personal equipment leads to higher production costs Prior institutional scholarships acknowledged, but current funding remains insufficient Materials and tools for studio production Professional documentation (photography, printing for qualifying papers and exhibitions) Critical support for both academic progress and professional exhibition preparation The proposal articulates how the funding will alleviate stress, increase productivity, and allow for a deeper focus on academic and creative goals.	30	20	10	60
102023423	The application was carefully composed and logical. There was enough supporting document which clearly indicates that there is a tuition need. Background and interest were clearly stated and explained. Overall, great composed application	30	20	10	60
102023827	Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	26	17	8	51

102023827	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Meets Word Count Requirement? Yes ~ 425 words Budget Template Complete? Relevant expenses (tuition, books, materials) are clearly outlined. Evaluation of Narrative Are academic/professional interests and degree stage clearly stated? Yes. The applicant is pursuing a Master of Health Administration and has a previous master's in Health Services Management, with professional experience in hospital administration. Yes. Their academic pursuits are directly aligned with a future career in healthcare leadership, especially in underserved communities. Yes. The scholarship will help alleviate financial strain, enabling them to focus on research and coursework that will contribute to their long-term goal of improving healthcare systems. The applicant emphasizes their commitment to serving vulnerable populations and using data-driven solutions to address healthcare inequities. The proposed use of funds—for tuition, books, and academic materials—is clear and appropriate for a GSF application. Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes. The writing is professional, clear, and focused. The tone is appropriate and the logic is coherent.	30	20	10	60
102023827	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not clearly stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 422 3. Narrative Evaluation Background Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation Qualification Decision: Qualify Reason (2–3 sentences): The students' proposal is very compelling and is overall strong. While their supporting material could have been more specific as to the general costs, I still believe they should qualify.	27	18	9	54
102025046	Recommended for GSF; meets funding criteria. Disqualified for SRG, and NRMG. No proof of paper acceptance for presentation. Recommendation letter for NRMG does not align with NRMG proposal.	27	17	8	52

102025046	Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (500) 3. Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Background Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A Composition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was great and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60
102025046	1. Amount Requested \$1000 2. Application meets word count, and a supporting doc showing tuition deficit was included. 3. The applicant clearly stated his/her academic/professional interest and financial need, which seems reasonable. 4. This application qualifies for GSF as all the instructions needed to make a qualified application were followed.	30	20	10	60
102025680	Financial needs weren't explained enough. The applicant didn't clearly explain how this fund will help the applicant complete the degree. The composition needs to be enhanced.	26	17	8	51

102025680	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Meets word count requirement? Yes (~440 words) The narrative outlines intended expenses clearly (tuition, paper, plates, tools). Clearly stated: a strong commitment to Printmaking, interest in mokuhanga (Japanese woodblock), and a career vision involving teaching, museum work, or operating a collaborative print studio. Connection to Broader Interests and Impact: Demonstrates how art history, language study, and cultural engagement enrich the applicant's academic and creative practice. Active participation in local nonprofit collaborations and public events (Koats for Kids, Health Care for the Homeless, ArtWalk) shows broader community impact and dedication beyond coursework. Financial Need: The applicant is specific: Tuition support Archival paper (used in printmaking projects) Drawing and printmaking materials (e.g., copper/aluminum plates) These are standard and essential supplies for an MFA student in Studio Art. Benefits to Academic Progress and Career Readiness: Funding allows continued academic focus, supports creative output, and preserves the applicant's ability to contribute meaningfully to UNM and the local art community.	20	20	10	50
102025680	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ 1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes/ (Number= 474) Budget template complete? (Yes) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative: The applicant clearly states his background and interest by even going further to states languages he is looking forward to learning to grow academically. The HTML File completed and clearly stated benefits to his or her financial needs. 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly Application qualifies because the applicant completes the application and meets all the requirement needed like clearly stated background, with a completed HTML as well.	29	20	10	59

102044750	. Eligibility & Status Eligible; M.S. student in Health Education, enrolled full-time (9 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes-350 Budget: Complete and verified (tuition \$5,002.76) Documents: Included 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Clearly outlines academic focus on health literacy and wellness; demonstrates dedication to community health promotion. Benefits: Funding will relieve financial strain following the loss of a TA position and allow continued progress toward degree completion. Budget: Reasonable and directly tied to tuition and academic needs. Composition: Professional, well-organized, and sincere. 4. Recommendation Qualify. The applicant presents clear financial need and a strong commitment to advancing health education, with well-justified use of funds.	30	18	10	58
102044750	Justification for disqualification: *Supporting documents do not verify if applicant is requesting support for current fall semester, no details available. *Proposal is less than the 350 word minimum; totaling 348 words. Score justification: *Deducted points as the proposal could be stronger in clarity, conciseness, action planning, and explanation of helping towards degree completion	30	18	5	53
102044750	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 350 3. Narrative Evaluation Background Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Budget Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Yes Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes	25	17	9	51

	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Word Count (350-500): Yes ($\approx$ 470 words) Background: Academic and professional goals clearly defined — PhD research in AI focusing on human-centered applications Explains financial hardship in detail — loss of NSF assistantship, low-income background, limited family support Mentions partial relief through GEO International Scholarship but remaining tuition burden still significant Composition: Logical flow, professional tone, and clear structure Technical terms briefly defined and accessible to general audience Polished writing with minor grammar issues				
102056863		28	19	9	56
	Amount Requested: \$1,000 Yes (374 words) The student specifies that the funding will be used for tuition (25% of total cost) Are academic/professional interests and degree stage clearly stated? Yes. The applicant is a PhD student in Computer Science focusing on AI. The degree stage is clear. Yes. The applicant mentions research in human-centered AI, specifically aimed at supporting students from underprivileged backgrounds. Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes. The use of AI to promote educational equity connects strongly with their academic goals. Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes. Funding will enable continued enrollment and allow the student to focus on research and completion of the PhD. Yes. The student aims to apply AI to reduce educational inequality, which has societal impact beyond academia. Yes. The use of funds for tuition is appropriate, and the amount aligns with a quarter of the semester's tuition.				
102056863	Yes. The proposal is clear, accessible, and concise.	30	20	10	60
102056863	the student is a self-motivated researcher	30	20	10	60
	Applicant not recommended for GSF. But has a great essay. In application, no assistantship. Claims assistantship in GSF proposal. Contradictory!				
102061329		27	17	9	53

102061329	Amount Requested: \$1000 Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (431) Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated but applicant stated in the proposal Dissemination and Materials (\$200): Support for either a graduate-level textbook or conference registration fee, both of which are crucial to completing my coursework and disseminating results to the academic community. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Yes Applicants requesting funds for an event or activity that does not qualify for the GSF (conference registration fee) Overall, application is based on financial need but applicant stated \$200 would be used to support for either a graduate-level textbook or conference registration fee, I therefore recommend this application for disqualification.	30	20	10	60
102061329	The application was clearly composed and logical. Background and interest were clearly stated and explained. Financial needs and the intended use of funds were explained. However the supporting document doesnt clearly indicate there are any tuition needs as stated in the application.	25	20	10	55
	Disqualification				
100041878	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? No – 554 words Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? – Yes Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? – Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant's proposal had a word count of 554 words, which was more than the required word count for the grant application.	25	15	8	48

	* full name written at the end of personal statement. Meets word count requirement? No (550 words) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? -Yes, Educator in Gallup and Zuni with extensive background hoping to continue advocacy and education work -Yes ties to previous experience and future goals of furthering education for native students Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? -Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? -Yes, strong benefit to Native American students. -Yes covers remaining tuition Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? -Partially, well written but looks like candidate copied and pasted one paragraph twice 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Disqualification Why: Disqualification above word count.	30	20	6	56
100041878	Requests \$1000, word count is over the limit (~550). Attached supporting material document is a screenshot that does not contain semester information or other details about applicant's charges. Student's proposal does into depth about their work and career, but the intended use of the funds is only very briefly mentioned. Because the proposal is over the word count, I recommend this application for disqualification.	20	15	10	45
100873947	Justification for Disqualification: Student proposal is less than 350 words. Justification for Score: Points were not deducted for disqualification. I also did not deduct points for what is stated on the scoresheet for GSF vs the grants code, it seems that any other funding pursued must be included within the statement. This was not provided within the students proposal. Points were deducted as the background analysis stated in the proposal could be strengthened. The narrative does not seem as strong as other applicants; specifically in academic/professional interest.	26	18	8	52
100873947	Recommendation for Disqualification: Student essay is 279 words, less than 350 minimum. Student also attended Summer workshop instead of a fall workshop. I don't know if that adds to the disqualification.	25	20	8	53
100873947	Requests \$1000, word count is below the limit (~279). Supporting document is just a cropped screenshot that doesn't show the payments and charges column. Applicants's proposal does include information on their academic interest, why they need the funds, and how the fund will benefit them. However, the proposal did not meet the minimum word count, so I recommend the application for disqualification.	30	18	10	58

101004015	Eligible; first-semester Ed.D. student in Educational Leadership, enrolled full-time (9 credit hours). Word count: Yes-318 Budget: Supported (tuition and fees verified) Background: Strong professional background with 13 years of teaching experience in Gallup; clearly articulates goals in Indigenous education and leadership. Benefits: Funding will directly support tuition, travel, and materials, enabling continued doctoral study and future educational reform leadership. Budget: Reasonable and justified. Composition: Clear, organized, and sincere in tone. 4. Recommendation Qualify. The applicant presents a strong case grounded in community impact, professional dedication, and financial need.	30	17	10	57
101004015	Justification for Disqualification: *Proposal is less than the 350 word minimum; totaling 314 words. *Supporting documents reflect summer term 25', different term in which they are applying. Points Justification: *Academic/professional interest is not clearly stated *Financial need not clearly stated (no points were deducted for no mention of other funding pursued) *Writing style not very action oriented *Mention of expenses to cover travel, do not believe this is an acceptable GSF funded activity (no points deducted for this, just something I noticed) *The benefit of funding to degree completion is not clearly articulated	25	15	5	45
101004015	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? No Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? No Word count: 314 3. Narrative Evaluation Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Somewhat Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Is the budget reasonable and well justified? More likely yes than no Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation Disqualify While the applicant's proposal is very inspiring, the required supporting material is for summer of 2025, leading me to infer that she is not requesting support for Fall 2025. Since the grant instructions state that the applicant must be requesting fall support, I recommend disqualification. Additionally, the word count was not met.	25	14	8	47

101652910	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes - 389 Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? No supporting documentation included 3. Evaluation of Narrative (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) • Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes it is clearly stated • Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Not applicable • Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? - Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant did not provide any additional supporting documents to support the application.	29	18	9	56
101652910	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not clearly stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 388 3. Narrative Evaluation Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Unsure, no required supporting materials were uploaded Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation Their proposal was very heartfelt and well written and it appears they have a strong reasoning behind pursuing their education. However, they failed to complete their application, as they did not upload the required supporting materials. Given that there is nothing to support the claims they made, I recommend that they be disqualified.	25	16	9	50

	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1,000 Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes(486words) Supporting documents included? No Evaluation of Narrative Background: - Academic and professional focus in community and transportation planning. - Research on youth-centered transportation and pedestrian safety with strong ties to degree and long-term goals. - Directly supports tuition, books, and software while reducing financial strain. - Shows leadership and mentorship within UNM and the New Mexico community. Amount: \$1,000 Composition: Well written, organized, and free of jargon. Recommendation Disqualify for funding				
101652910	Why: No supporting documents of financial aid or receipts.	30	20	10	60
	1. Eligibility & Status Eligible; third-year M.D. student, full-time (15 credit hours). 2. Application Compliance Word count: Yes-519 Budget: Complete (tuition verified) Documents: Included 3. Evaluation of Narrative Background: Strong, compelling personal story reflecting resilience, service, and dedication to pediatric and community health. Demonstrates clear academic and professional focus on pediatric ophthalmology and care for underserved populations. Benefits: Funding would reduce financial strain from loans and work hours, enabling continued focus on medical training and community outreach. Budget: Reasonable and directly related to tuition and living costs. Composition: Exceptionally well-written, personal, and reflective. 4. Recommendation Qualify. An outstanding application showing deep financial need, academic excellence, and strong commitment to service and health equity in New Mexico.				
101690859		30	20	10	60
	Justification for Disqualification: Points were not deducted for disqualification or other various factors; however, the supporting documentation the student has provided appears to be for their tuition and fees from the Spring 25' term and the total balance as of 09/26/25 is \$0.00. Also, the student proposal exceeds the (500) word maximum; totaling 520 words.				
101690859		30	20	10	60
	Recommendation for Disqualification: over 500 words.				
101690859		30	10	10	50

101771905	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? No – 305 words Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? – No Applicant did not provide any supporting information which is a requirement for the application Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? – Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant's application does not meet the word count in the proposal. The applicant's proposal had a word count of 305 words, which was less than required word count for the grant application. Applicant did not also provide supporting document, which is a requirement for the application	29	19	9	57
101771905	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$710 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? No Word count: 304 3. Narrative Evaluation Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Is the budget reasonable and well justified? Unsure; required supporting material not uploaded Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation Qualification Decision: Disqualify Reason (2–3 sentences): While the applicant's proposal is compelling, the applicant failed to provide the required supporting materials and did not meet the word count. As such, I recommend disqualification.	24	16	9	49

101771905	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$710 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? No (303) Budget template complete? n/a Required supporting docs: no, incomplete (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? - Yes Graduate student in computer science at UNM focusing on software engineering and machine learning Yes directly supports continuation in studies Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? - Yes will allow focus on coursework and research Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Partially, mentions contributing to department through research but no mention of broader community impact. disqualification Why: (2–3 sentences explaining the main reason for qualification or disqualification) Missing supporting documentation of financial aid or receipts, below word count	25	15	9	49
101844416	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? Yes—414 words Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? -Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) Background • Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes • Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Not applicable • Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? – Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Budget Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Composition	27	18	7	52

101844416	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? No Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? Yes Word count: 386 Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Somewhat Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Yes Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Yes Budget Is the budget reasonable and well justified? More likely yes than no Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Yes Qualification Decision: Disqualify Reason (2–3 sentences): While his proposal is very inspiring, I don't believe that this is the appropriate manner to obtain funds. He has paid off his fall 2025 balance through refunds and scholarships, so the money from this grant would go to additional desires for advancing his academic career and not the fall semester that the grant requires. While I support those desires, I do not believe that he should qualify for this specific grant.	23	14	7	44
101844416	The supporting document indicates that there are not tuition deficit. There was no clear mention of the financial needs and the intended use of the funds. Again, the application included a name in the which is not acceptable.	20	10	6	36
101897258	Amount Requested: \$1000 Meets word count requirement? No Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? -Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) Background • Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? No • Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? Not applicable • Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? No Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? - No Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? – Not applicable Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant failed to upload the proposal for the grant that he or she was applying for. The applicant only provided a screenshot of the tuition cost.	5	5	5	15

101897258	Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1,000 Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? n/a Budget template complete? n/a Supporting docs included? Partial Evaluation of Narrative Background: n/a Benefits: n/a Budget: \$1,000 Composition: n/a Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification Recommendation: Disqualify, no proposal	0	0	0	0
101897258	Requests \$1000, proposal was not attached. Student did submit a screenshot of their bursars charges. Since a proposal was not attached, I recommend this application for disqualification.	15	10	0	25
101933321	Justification for Disqualification: Student proposal is less than the (350) word minimum, totaling less than 200 words. Justification for Points: No listing of additional funding pursued (did not deduct points for this). Points were deducted as I do not believe this proposal flowed logically. There is not a specific mention of GSF nor mention of specific uses of GSF funds. Do not believe the proposal was thoroughly articulated as far as the benefit(s) of funding degree completion. Overall, could be a much stronger proposal.	25	15	5	45
101933321	Recommendation for Disqualification: not anonymous and letter isn't for GPSA GSF award, less than 350 word minimum.	15	10	8	33
101933321	Requests \$1000, word count is below the minimum (~185). Screenshot of tuition charges does show financial need. Student's proposal VERY BRIEFLY touches on the benefits and use of the GSF funds. Note: Student's name is on their proposal document. Based on the word count of the proposal, I recommend this application for disqualification.	20	10	8	38
102024676	Recommended for GSF. Meets funding criteria Not recommended for SRG: no airline ticket purchased, no hotel reservation,	26	17	8	51

102024676	Amount Requested: \$1000 2. AApplication Compliance Meets word count requirement? (Yes) (499) Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? (N/A) 3. Evaluation of Narrative Great proposal, proposal fulfills all the requirements Applicant's financial need is clearly stated Specific use of GSF funds is clearly stated. The benefit of funding to degree completion is articulated. Are academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated? Yes Is the activity/research/project described in detail (what, when, where)? N/A Is it clearly tied to the applicant's field and/or goals? Yes Are the benefits to the applicant's academic/professional development clear? Yes Are the benefits to the academic community / NM community (if applicable) explained? Yes Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? N/A Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? Yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? Recommendation for Qualification Application was great and benefits were linked to applicants long-term and short-term goals Applicants' academic/professional interests are clearly stated. Overall, application is based on financial need, and I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60
102024676	1. The applicant requested an amount of \$1000 2. i. The application is 3 words over the word count ii. Required supporting doc was included and justified 3. The applicant clearly stated his academic interest, degree, and research in detail. Slightly verbose application. The benefit is tied to academic development. 4. I am recommending the application for disqualification because the applicant went over the word count with 3 words	30	20	8	58
102080161	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 2. AApplication Compliance Meets word count requirement? No – 99 words Budget template complete? – Not applicable Required supporting docs (letters of support) included? -Yes 3. Evaluation of Narrative (Use bullets or short sentences – 2-3) • AAre academic/professional interests & degree stage clearly stated Benefits Does the budget seem reasonable, well researched, and justified? - Not applicable Is the proposal well-written, logical, and free of jargon? - yes 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) The applicant does not meet the word count in the proposal. The applicant's proposal had a word count of 99 words, which was less than required word count for the grant application	9	10	5	24

102080161	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$1000 Requesting Support for Fall Semester? Yes Enrolled in at least 6 credit hours for Spring Semester? Not stated 2. Application Check Meets word count? No Word count: 101 3. Narrative Evaluation Background Are the applicant's academic or professional interests and degree stage clear? Yes Is it clearly connected to the applicant's field or goals? Somewhat Benefits Are the benefits to the applicant's academic or professional development clear? Somewhat Are community or academic benefits explained (if applicable)? Not necessarily Is the budget reasonable and well justified? More likely yes than no Writing Quality Is the proposal well-written, organized, and free of jargon? Very brief; not enough detail Disqualify The applicant did not meet the minimum word count and provided a very brief proposal. As such, I was unable to confidently assess his financial need, long term goals, and current standing. Due to this, I recommend disqualification.	10	5	5	20
102080161	1. Eligibility & Status Amount Requested: \$ 1000 ____ 2. Application Compliance Meets word count requirement? (NO(Number= 99 3. Evaluation of Narrative The applicant did not put any effort in writing his or her application which does not even meet the minimum word count 4. Recommendation for Qualification or Disqualification? (State clearly) Disqualified because application is less than three hundred and fifty (350) words requirement needed.	10	5	4	19
102085677	Applicant not recommended for GSF and PDG. The evidence shows no purchase of airline ticket.	20	15	7	42
102085677	1. Amount Requested \$1000 2. The application does not meet the word count (216 words providing too little information.	20	12	7	39
102085677	There was no clear intended use of the funds. Interest were not stated. The application was clearly compose. There was also not enough supporting documents	20	15	10	45

100138050	Requesting -Meets word count (Ineligible because of Grant Code: Article IX, Section 2.C.1 — Graduate Scholarship Fund, Received funding in summer) Provided sufficient supporting documents Good narrative, concise and flowed logically Background/Benefits/Composition were completed thoroughly Qualifies for Took their time writing out their proposal, very thoughtful, followed instruction and implemented the structure nicely	30	20	10	60
100138050	Recommendation for Qualification: student expresses how their degree will benefit them and the people around them, is specific about their interest and how funding will help achieve their degree.	30	20	10	60
100138050	Requests \$1000, word count is within the limit (~393 words). Screenshot of bursar's does support student's proposal and financial needs. Proposal was easy to follow and clearly explained student's academic interest and how the funds will benefit them. I appreciate that the student was specific about how the funds will be used. I recommend this application for qualification.	30	20	10	60