



BACKGROUND : TWENTY FIVE POINTS (25 POINTS)

- Applicant's academic/professional interests and stage in degree program are clearly stated **(0-10 points)**
- Activity is described in detail: What exactly will the applicant do, where and when it is happening, and why it is significant for the applicant's interests **(0-10 points)**
- Activity is put into context of the applicant's academic/professional field at large **(0-5 points)**

BENEFITS : THIRTY POINTS (30 POINTS)

- Proposed activity's benefits to applicant are clearly stated and linked to academic or professional development **(0-15 points)**
- Benefits of applicant's work to academic/professional community are clearly stated **(0-15 points)**

COMPOSITION : FIFTEEN POINTS (15 POINTS)

- Proposal flows logically and writing is clear. **(0-5 points)**
- Proposal is suitable for a general academic audience. Technical terms are defined. **(0-5 points)**
- Proposal shows evidence of proofreading, with few errors in grammar or usage **(0-5 points)**

BUDGET : THIRTY POINTS (30 POINTS)

- Applicant pursued other sources of funding within the University or academic community (e.g. department, Office of Graduate Studies, Career Services), or from outside organizations relevant to the applicant's research **(0 OR 10 points)**
- Budget has been completed using the GPSA Budget template and items to be funded by SRG/PDG, applicant, or other sources are indicated as such **(0 OR 5 points)**
- Budget appears well-researched and complete for scope of project (names of facilities, dates, itineraries, etc.), listing all spending related to activity. Choices appear to be economical and/or are explained **(0-15 points)**