



BACKGROUND : TWENTY POINTS (20 POINTS)

- Applicant's academic/professional interests are clearly stated **(0 or 5 points)**
- Applicants professional goals are clearly stated **(0 or 5 points)**
- What exactly will the applicant do? **(0-5 points)**
- Where and when is the activity happening? **(0-5 points)**

BENEFITS : FORTY POINTS (40 POINTS)

- Specific benefit(s) is clearly stated and explained **(0-10 points)**
- Proposed activity's benefits to applicant are clearly linked to professional development and/or career goals **(0-15 points)**
- Activity put into context **(0-5 points)**
- How is it significant for applicant goals? **(0-10 points)**

COMPOSITION : TEN POINTS (10 POINTS)

- Proposal flows logically and writing is clear. **(0-5 points)**
- Proposal is suitable for a general academic audience. Technical terms are defined. **(0-5 points)**

BUDGET : THIRTY POINTS (30 POINTS)

- Applicant pursued other sources of funding within the University or academic community (e.g. department, Office of Graduate Studies, Career Services), or from outside organizations relevant to the applicant's research **(0 OR 10 points)**
- Budget has been completed using the GPSA Budget template and items to be funded by SRG/PDG, applicant, or other sources are indicated as such **(0 OR 5 points)**
- Budget appears well-researched and complete for scope of project (names of facilities, dates, itineraries, etc.), listing all spending related to activity. Choices appear to be economical and/or are explained **(0-15 points)**